

Re: Pirated XP, means-test everyone and every product with "income

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-02/0479.html>

From: Alias (*aka_at_maskedandanonymous.com*)

Date: 01/26/05

Date: Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:35:40 +0100

"Dan" <spamyou@user.nec> wrote

| Wow, thanks for the news. I for one am using Mozilla Firefox whenever I can

| and may soon switch to Mozilla Thunderbird. Therefore, I have little need | any longer for IE and maybe soon for OE. I am even considering adding Linux

| and having a tri-boot of 98SE, XP PRO, and Linux. Finally, I feel Microsoft

| does their best work when they have good competition.

I prefer OE due to the fact that I know it well, can back it up with one click and Thunderbird will only allow one signature per account. I use over ten sigs for my business and all with one account so Thunderbird, be it the "wine" or email program, is not for me. For browsing sites that don't require IE like Windows Update, I much prefer Firefox and love their tabbed browsing.

I think an decent OS from Google would change Microsoft's prices over night. You say what Yahoo and Hotmail did when GMail made the scene.

--

Alias

Use the Reply to Sender feature of your news reader program to email me.
Utiliza Responder al Remitente para mandarme un mail.

```
|
| "Alias" <aka@maskedandanonymous.com> wrote
| :
| : "Dan" <spamyou@user.nec> wrote
| : | Alias, how about writing to Microsoft about your concerns?
| :
| : I'm sure they are very aware of what they are doing in this regard and
know
| : very well that it is a rip off and also know they can get away with it
as
| : they are the only viable show in town.
| :
| : I hear Google is coming up with an OS. That should be interesting ...
```

```
| : --
| : Alias
| :
| : Use the Reply to Sender feature of your news reader program to email me.
| : Utiliza Responder al Remitente para mandarme un mail.
| :
| : | "Alias" <aka@maskedandanonymous.com> wrote in message
| : | news:35p5roF4l0aatU1@individual.net...
| : | :
| : | : "Ron Bogart" <rip.unoTHE@CRAPearthlink.net> wrote in message
| : | : news:uLZIVy1AFHA.2676@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl...
| : | : | In news:35nkmhF4optidU1@individual.net,
| : | : | Alias <aka@maskedandanonymous.com> did some thinking and came up
with
| : | : | these
| : | : | words:
| : | : | > "Leythos" <void@nowhere.lan> wrote in message
| : | : | > news:MPG.1c60617cfd7b6f698a00d@news-server.columbus.rr.com...
| : | : | >> In article <35n65jF4lnhp5U1@individual.net>,
| : | : | >> aka@maskedandanonymous.com says...
| : | : | >> [snip my posting]
| : | : | >>>
| : | : | >>> I never advocated not paying for software. Never. I am against
the
| : | : | >>> subscription/license scam and consider *that* to be highway
| : | : | >>> robbery. See the difference? Care to address it or will you
just
| : | : | >>> hurl another self righteous ad hominem attack?
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> Sure, I'm not self-righteous, I'm a realist and a business
owner
| : | : | that
| : | : | >> makes money to live and grow and employ others and feed my
family.
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> I do not have any issues with Any Company that uses the SAME
type
| : | : | of
| : | : | >> EULA that MS does, there are many, and I find that it's
acceptable
| : | : | to
| : | : | >> anyone that understands how businesses benefit the economy and
pay
| : | : | >> employees.
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> When we design software for a client, there are two models - 1)
All
| : | : | >> source code and rights are provided to the client - this has a
much
| : | : | >> higher cost to the client. 2) None of the source code is
provided
| : | : | to
| : | : | >> the client and they get permission to Use the software for a
much
| : | : | >> lower cost than in #1.
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> I fully support those types of EULA's, restriction of
| : | : | application/OS
| : | : | >> to one install per license, it's how you get your ROI in most
| : | : | cases.
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> So, now that I answered your question, with nothing but
```

```
business
| : | : | >> reasons from a business owner, how about going back and
answering
| : | : | >> mine. Thanks.
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >>
| : | : | >> --
| : | : | >> --
| : | : | >> spamfree999@rrohio.com
| : | : | >> (Remove 999 to reply to me)
| : | : | >
| : | : | > A lot of twenty dollar words to explain the nature of the scam.
| : | : | > Thanks. You have not convinced me that making families pay for
| : | : | > multiple OS for multiple computers in one home is not a rip off.
| : | : | > Businesses can write if off. Families can't. No one needs the
source
| : | : | > code to install what one buys on multiple computers.
| : | : |
| : | : | That is about the most childish and naive statement I have ever
heard.
| : | : | Business can write off the loss - it takes money out of the
profit -
| : | : | stockholders can afford to lose some of their money and all just
| : because
| : | : you
| : | : | want everything without paying for it. I should write software -
| : spend
| : | : | hundreds of hours testing and updating it and then lose money on
the
| : deal
| : | : | because you don't want to pay for what you get. Absurdity like
that
| : | makes
| : | : | your statement/argument so worthless that I stop listening at this
| : point.
| : | : |
| : | : | --
| : | : | Ron Bogart {}  ô¿ô¬
| : | :
| : | : | Um, I am not advocating not paying for it. Nor did I say anything
about
| : | : writing off a loss. I did say if a family ***pays*** for one XP,
they
| : | should
| : | : be able to install it on all of their computers. I did say a
business
| : can
| : | : write it off, but as an expense, not a loss, speaking of "naive".
How
| : would
| : | : buying multiple XPs be considered a "loss"???
| : | : --
| : | : Alias
| : | :
| : | : | Use the Reply to Sender feature of your news reader program to email
me.
| : | : Utiliza Responder al Remitente para mandarme un mail.
| : | :
| : | :
| : | :
| : | :
```

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: Pirated XP, means-test everyone and every product with "income

| :
|
|