

## Re: Literal-ness !!

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-01/10205.html>

---

**From:** Richard Urban (*richardurbanREMOVETHIS\_at\_hotmail.com*)

**Date:** 01/13/05

Date: Wed, 12 Jan 2005 19:20:01 -0500

If a person does not supply a massive amount of related "bulk" with their post, that forces others to read into the post what they feel is necessary. It may be correct or incorrect.

If you, or others, can't compose a proper post don't expect a proper answer!

--

Regards,

Richard Urban

aka Crusty (-: Old B@stard :-)

If you knew as much as you thought you know,

You would realize that you don't know what you thought you knew!

"RJK" <notatospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:ONPSSNQ%23EHA.3840@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

> It is occasionally fascinating to watch the responses, in MS NG's, to a  
> post that suggests or recommends something quite specific. Responses  
> rapidly appear that "read-into" the original post ALL SORTS of things that  
> simply were not contained or even suggested in that post !

>

> Then there are the responses that criticize the original postee for not  
> having included a MASSIVE BULK of related background information - in the  
> original post !

> <grin>

>

> regards, Richard

>