

## Re: Longhorn to be 64Bit only, no 32Bit

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-11/13253.html>

---

**From:** Mike Brannigan [MSFT] ([mikebran\\_at\\_online.microsoft.com](mailto:mikebran_at_online.microsoft.com))

**Date:** 11/19/04

Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2004 19:37:36 -0000

Hi Dilip,

The current dev is being done from one code base for i386(x86) and x64 so as long as things go to the current plan (changing as it is) we should ship on all platforms.

I was not accusing you of disseminating anything and I too am very surprised by this post being made by a claimed MVP.

We want to be Longhorn widely adopted and the Intel roadmap has new faster 32-bit CPUs on the horizon as well as supporting EMT64T.

There are dual core chips coming as well so while AMD do appear to have almost committed to entirely the AMD64 route but so far we do not see the death of x86-32bit just yet.

--

Regards,  
Mike

--

Mike Brannigan [Microsoft]

This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights

Please note I cannot respond to e-mailed questions, please use these newsgroups

"DILIP" <[dilipr@#\\*!%1.com](mailto:dilipr@#*!%1.com)> wrote in message  
news:OT23nlmzEHA.3784@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl...

> Hi Mike,  
>

> Thanks for sorting this out personally. This news seems to be spreading  
> quite quickly. Quite a few sites including the Register (don't know how  
> trust worthy it is, though) seem to be spreading this (do a Google search  
> and you'll get a few hits) but as you rightly pointed out, none of them  
> mention who this 'source' is. It is not unreasonable to consider the fact  
> that it could be some AMD fanatics spreading this.

>

> Good to learn that the Longhorn 32 and 64-bit versions are simultaneously  
> being developed. Hopefully we'll see a simultaneous launch of both  
> versions. The only reason why I posted this was because it was posted by  
> a 'MVP' - Really didn't intend to disseminate incorrect information.

>

> As far as the site is concerned I don't quite remember seeing ads there,  
> due to Ad-Muncher in the background that removes all ads, popups et al.

>

> --

> Replace the obvious with "hotmail"

Re: Longhorn to be 64Bit only, no 32Bit

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: Longhorn to be 64Bit only, no 32Bit

>  
> "Mike Brannigan [MSFT]" <mikebran@online.microsoft.com> wrote in message  
> news:ePml7hkzEHA.3820@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...  
>> "DILIP" <dilipr@#\*%#!%l.com> wrote in message  
>> news:OGudCUkzEHA.576@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...  
>>> It's unofficial, but interesting isn't it?  
>>> <http://forums.winxpcentral.com/showthread.php?t=12157>  
>>>  
>>> I can't imagine guys with their shiny new P4EEs being left in the dust  
>>> while a humble AMD64 is compatible. Either this is a huge rumour, or  
>>> MSFT has a good reason (possibly dev costs) for going 64bit so soon.  
>>> Upgrade versions will slow down or might not even sell - Which is why  
>>> I'm still skeptical of it. But it has made me re-think my imminent  
>>> upgrade :-)  
>>>  
>>  
>> Wild speculation and unfounded rumour.  
>> You have to admire the cheek of the opening line "... According to  
>> sources .."  
>> - hhhmmm it is usual to actually accredit your "sources".  
>>  
>> The facts are that as we approach the first beta of Windows "Longhorn"  
>> client next year we are still building both i386 and x64 builds.  
>> I have seen nothing in the latest planning or status updates from the  
>> Program and Product Managers as well as the Executives that would in  
>> anyway corroborate this so called "sources" opinions.  
>>  
>> It is also a pity that this post was made by someone claiming to be a MVP  
>> who should really know better.  
>>  
>> As an aside you may like to notice if you are using SP2 that the site in  
>> question contains both massive amounts of cookies for itself as well as  
>> advertisers and also pop ups. This may help you establish your level of  
>> trust of this site and its news worthiness.  
>>  
>>  
>> Best not to rethink your upgrade plans just yet.  
>>  
>> --  
>>  
>> Regards,  
>>  
>> Mike  
>> --  
>> Mike Brannigan [Microsoft]  
>>  
>> This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no  
>> rights  
>>  
>> Please note I cannot respond to e-mailed questions, please use these  
>> newsgroups  
>>  
>> "DILIP" <dilipr@#\*%#!%l.com> wrote in message  
>> news:OGudCUkzEHA.576@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...  
>>> It's unofficial, but interesting isn't it?  
>>> <http://forums.winxpcentral.com/showthread.php?t=12157>  
>>>  
>>> I can't imagine guys with their shiny new P4EEs being left in the dust  
>>> while a humble AMD64 is compatible. Either this is a huge rumour, or  
>>> MSFT has a good reason (possibly dev costs) for going 64bit so soon.  
>>> Upgrade versions will slow down or might not even sell - Which is why  
>>> I'm still skeptical of it. But it has made me re-think my imminent

microsoft.public.windowsexp.general: Re: Longhorn to be 64Bit only, no 32Bit

```
>>> upgrade :-)  
>>>  
>>> --  
>>> Replace the obvious with "hotmail"  
>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>
```