

Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-09/17268.html>

From: Jupiter Jones [MVP] (jones_jupiter_at_hotnomail.com)

Date: 09/13/04

Date: Mon, 13 Sep 2004 16:43:11 -0600

It would be nice if all the old worked with the new, but that is not always the case.

It is rarely the case with anything, not just software.

Whether you accept it or not is not really relevant.

It is YOUR CHOICE.

You can CHOOSE SP-2 or not.

But if you CHOOSE SP-2, it is YOUR responsibility to have your computer compatible with SP-2.

You can also CHOOSE no SP-2 and then you will not have these problems you refer.

--

Jupiter Jones [MVP]

<http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/>

"Jack" <Jack@abc.net> wrote in message
news:eFN1crdmEHA.2616@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

> Woooooosh . . .
> You are hexx bent on having us believe that a system, including all
> hardware
> and software, that works just fine under our existing XP setup
> should be
> compatible with the new sp. I say the new sp SHOULD be compatible
> with any
> system that is working just fine without it. If an item, hardware
> and/or
> software, works under the non-sp2 XP setup the sp install has NO
> business
> rendering it unusable. By your thinking MS can just pick who their
> favorite
> 'partners' are and delegate the rest obsolete by simply releasing a
> sp.
> EOC

>

>

> "Jupiter Jones [MVP]" <jones_jupiter@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%23YgsyZdmEHA.3372@TK2MSFTNGP15.phx.gbl...

>> Jack;

>> 1. Windows is a Microsoft product.

>> However the hardware and much of the software usually is not

>> Microsoft

>> and thus beyond Microsoft's control.

>> Who's problem? That depends, some current software and hardware is

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

>> poorly written taking advantage of some of the weaknesses in
>> windows.
>> Microsoft has fixed some of those and now poorly written code can
>> not
>> handle the more secure Windows.
>> Clearly a 3rd party problem.
>> They and not Microsoft need to take care of it.
>> Some choose not to sometimes because the product is to old for them
>> to
>> expend resources.
>> Microsoft has a amount of information on this subject alone and you
>> have seen much of it, do you apply it?
>>
>> 2. "Why, pray tell, do we need to have our systems compatible to
>> the
>> service pack"
>> I would have thought the answer is obvious.
>> If something is not compatible it may not work.
>>
>> As for your scenario, you left out at least as much important
>> information as you put in.
>> What is the source of the problem?
>> Are there updates available?
>> Were drivers reinstalled?
>> Does everyone, some or very few experience the problem?
>> The fact the problem showed itself upon installation of SP-2 may
>> tell
>> a little but is not necessarily relevant.
>> That is why if there are problems YOU need to do the appropriate
>> research co check compatibility of YOUR system.
>> After all it is YOUR computer.
>>
>> "If my OS (XP) is perfectly happy with my hardware/software
>> configuartion, is functioning justfine and then the SP renders part
>> or
>> all of the system unusable guesswho/what is going to be left
>> standing
>> when the music stops . . . - YEP the SP!"
>> Are you sure? I thought it was your computer!
>> Now you have a choice, leave it to the SP, or research to determine
>> the cause and regain the full use of your computer.
>> It may be the Service Pack or it may be something else.
>> Since it is YOUR computer, YOU need to make the determination and
>> follow the course of action YOU CHOOSE.
>>
>> I am not as much "pro SP" as much as I am pro secure computing and
>> Jack should know that by now.
>> As long as you keep that line of thinking instead you may never
>> understand.
>>
>> --
>> Jupiter Jones [MVP]
>> <http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/>
>>
>>
>> "Jack" <Jack@abc.net> wrote in message
>> news:exso2IdmEHA.3340@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...
>> > Allow me a quote from you, and from the message you reply to:
>> >
>> > <Quote> Very few existing issues are Microsoft problems.</Quote>
>> > <Quote> While waiting you also need to research your specific
>> > hardware and

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

```
>> > software for SP-2 compatibility issues: Quote>
>> >
>> > 1 - If we are installing a Microsoft patch (SP) to a Microsoft
>> > product
>> > (XP -IE) just who's problem is it?
>> >
>> > 2 - Why, pray tell, do we need to have our systems compatible to
>> > the
>> > service
>> > pack. If they are compatible with our current version of XP then
>> > the SP
>> > damx well should be compatible with our system!
>> >
>> > Scenario/metaphor: (The item could be ANY device and/or
>> > software -
>> > I'll use
>> > a printer)
>> > I have a 6 month old, near top of the line, computer. I install
>> > a
>> > new, well
>> > known mfg.'s, printer. I go to the windows update and it tells
>> > me
>> > there are
>> > no newer drivers for it. NOW, 6 months later, I install SP2 and,
>> > say, my
>> > printer malfunctions upon installing SP2. What you, and some
>> > others, are
>> > trying to have us believe is that the problem is with our
>> > computer
>> > and or
>> > printer. I, strongly, suggest the problem is with the SP! If my
>> > OS
>> > (XP) is
>> > perfectly happy with my hardware/software configuartion, is
>> > functioning just
>> > fine and then the SP renders part or all of the system unusable
>> > guess
>> > who/what is going to be left standing when the music stops . .
>> > . - YEP
>> > the SP!
>> >
>> > The arguments I hear being made on the pro SP side of this issue
>> > are
>> > STRONG
>> > evidence that we need a standalone, unbundled, unintgrated
>> > operating
>> > system!
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Jupiter Jones [MVP]" <jones_jupiter@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> > message
>> > news:e3%23VPxcmEHA.1904@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
>> >> Patches will most likely come out, at least one has been out for
>> >> several weeks.
>> >> But is people want to install SP-2 later after having problems
>> >> nom,
>> >> they MUST research since most issues already have a resolution
>> >> either
>> >> through a simple reconfiguration or an update from manufacturer.
>> >> Very few existing issues are Microsoft problems.
```

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

```
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Jupiter Jones [MVP]
>> >> http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> "Wislu Plethora" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in
>> >> message news:162201c499c7$66d89c70$a501280a@phx.gbl...
>> >> >
>> >> >>-----Original Message-----
>> >> >>It is OK to wait, but to wait until "they fix it first"
>> >> > would be a
>> >> >>waste of time.
>> >> >>The SP-2 of today will be the same as SP-2 of next year.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>While waiting you also need to research your specific
>> >> > hardware and
>> >> >>software for SP-2 compatibility issues:
>> >> >>http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/xpsp2.htm
>> >> >>
>> >> >>When ready, follow the Service Pack Installation
>> >> > Checklist.
>> >> >>http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/spackins.htm
>> >> >>
>> >> >>--
>> >> >>Jupiter Jones [MVP]
>> >> >>http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> > Which is not to say that there won't be patches
>> >> > forthcoming, which patches could fix problems that might
>> >> > be keeping people from installing to begin with.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>
```