

Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-09/17268.html>

From: Jupiter Jones [MVP] (jones_jupiter_at_hotnomail.com)

Date: 09/13/04

Date: Mon, 13 Sep 2004 16:43:11 -0600

It would be nice if all the old worked with the new, but that is not always the case.

It is rarely the case with anything, not just software.

Whether you accept it or not is not really relevant.

It is YOUR CHOICE.

You can CHOOSE SP-2 or not.

But if you CHOOSE SP-2, it is YOUR responsibility to have your computer compatible with SP-2.

You can also CHOOSE no SP-2 and then you will not have these problems you refer.

--

Jupiter Jones [MVP]

<http://www3.telus.net/dandemar/>

"Jack" <Jack@abc.net> wrote in message
news:eFNlcrdmEHA.2616@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

> Woooooosh . . .
> You are hexx bent on having us believe that a system, including all
> hardware
> and software, that works just fine under our existing XP setup
> should be
> compatible with the new sp. I say the new sp SHOULD be compatible
> with any
> system that is working just fine without it. If an item, hardware
> and/or
> software, works under the non-sp2 XP setup the sp install has NO
> business
> rendering it unusable. By your thinking MS can just pick who their
> favorite
> 'partners' are and delegate the rest obsolete by simply releasing a
> sp.
> EOC

>

>

> "Jupiter Jones [MVP]" <jones_jupiter@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%23YgsyZdmEHA.3372@TK2MSFTNGP15.phx.gbl...

>> Jack;

>> 1. Windows is a Microsoft product.

>> However the hardware and much of the software usually is not

>> Microsoft

>> and thus beyond Microsoft's control.

>> Who's problem? That depends, some current software and hardware is

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: I think I wil wait on sp2

>> poorly written taking advantage of some of the weaknesses in
>> windows.
>> Microsoft has fixed some of those and now poorly written code can
>> not
>> handle the more secure Windows.
>> Clearly a 3rd party problem.
>> They and not Microsoft need to take care of it.
>> Some choose not to sometimes because the product is to old for them
>> to
>> expend resources.
>> Microsoft has a amount of information on this subject alone and you
>> have seen much of it, do you apply it?
>>
>> 2. "Why, pray tell, do we need to have our systems compatible to
>> the
>> service pack"
>> I would have thought the answer is obvious.
>> If something is not compatible it may not work.
>>
>> As for your scenario, you left out at least as much important
>> information as you put in.
>> What is the source of the problem?
>> Are there updat