

## Re: Legality of OEM Win XP 1-2 CPU

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-06/4045.html>

---

**From:** Tee (*crappolagozhere\_at\_netscape.net*)

**Date:** 06/04/04

Date: Thu, 3 Jun 2004 22:47:14 -0400

"Bruce Chambers" <bchambers@nospamcableone.net> wrote in message  
news:eFxrNrdSEHA.3012@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

> *Greetings --*

>

> *Ah, yes. The much-ballyhoo-ed "Fair Use" argument. This is  
> nothing more than a red herring that isn't even applicable in the case  
> of making unauthorized copies of software for daily use, either  
> personal or commercial. Specifically:*

How is using the same copy, a second time, making an unauthorized second  
copy?

> *You might also try actually reading the law, though it won't  
> support your position:*

As I stated in anothe reply to you a copyright attorney is who told me of  
this. It came up while I was meeting one of my clients, her husband, in his  
store, and he was complaining about problems with his OS and said he liked  
the XP on his wife's machine better.

Fact is that most software companies don't try to limit people to this by  
making them buy 3 separate cds or licenses if they have 3 computers in their  
home. Its assinine IMO. That's not to say that I don't respect the EULA or  
MS's right to try and place this restriction on people but I do think its  
unfair. I still don't believe that, in a court of law MS v Jane Doe, that  
MS would win because Jane Doe installed XP Home on two computers in her  
house.

--

Tara