

XP Problems

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-03/7733.html>

From: k smith (*anonymous_at_discussions.microsoft.com*)

Date: 03/08/04

Date: Mon, 8 Mar 2004 12:47:41 -0800

>-----Original Message-----

>One question:

>Why do you do so many repair installs? I have never done
>one with 40+ machines running XP for a year. Sounds like
>something needs fixing in your environment and it ain't
xp.

You're right about one thing...."it ain't XP" It's
Micro\$oft and it's attitude about the open source
community. XP is designed to thwart innovation in that
arena. XP is fine and dandy if you only use stuff where
Gates has his hand in.

All I'm trying to do is assemble a suite of software that
can work together from the open source community for a
SECURE media center PC that uses a robust system like XP.

It just pees me off that XP was designed with that
attitude and intention.

>>-----Original Message-----

>>I started out in the XP Beta period with the 2500 series
>>and have to say that XP is still BETA! It hasn't even
>>gone "tin" yet...let alone "gold".

>>

>>Every time I have to "repair" XP I have to redownload
and

>>re-install all of the "critical" and "recommended"
>>updates; with many problems along the way. I'm really
>>tiring of having to re-install XP every couple of weeks.

I

>>never had to do that with "98".....just a "clean
install"

>>every 3 months or so. I expected more with "XP"....what
a

>>mistake! The piece of crap "ME" was is better than "XP"!
>>

>>This last time was the worst. I couldn't select all of
>>the "critical" updates and install them without getting
>>a "BSOD".
>>
>>I had to download and install each update individually;
>>which meant I had to reboot and log on 40 times just to
>>get all of the "critical" updates. However: "KB826939
>>update rollup 1" gives a "BSOD" every time I try to
>>download it.
>>
>>Some Questions:
>>
>> 1. Why is the success rate of "System Restore" less
than
>>20%? I have the same results on all 4 machines I
>>control. "System Restore" is a worthless resource hog
>>which I no longer have enabled. I am rolling 2 machines
>>back to "windows 98SE", installing "Lindows" on the
third,
>>and resell those 3 copies of XP.
>>
>> 2. Why does XP force you to download and reinstall all
of
>>the updates when you do a "Repair"? Shouldn't it be
called
>>a "Re-install"? because it also forces you to "Re-
>>Activate" every time. I ran out of "Activations" 2 years
>>ago. Fortunately I found a crack that works for me. I
>>shouldn't have to ask for permission to use something I
>>PAID FOR! and; I WON'T!
>>
>> 3. Why does XP deny access to corrupted system files by
>>anti-virus software, or when logged on as an
administrator?
>>
>> 4. Why was XP designed to be so unfriendly and
difficult
>>to maintain?
>>
>> 5. Why do all of the "critical" and "recommended"
updates
>>leave so much clutter in the registry? I'm talking about
>>hundreds of broken links and invalid entries. Thank God
>>for good (properly written) 3rd party apps to clean up
the
>>mess that Micro\$oft is unwilling or incapable of getting
>>right.
>>
>> 6. Will I wait for "Longhorn"?.....HELL NO!
>>
>> 7. Will I download SP2?.....HELL NO!

>>

>> 8. *Why is SP2 written to break so many good applications?*

>>

>> 9. *Why was XP designed to crash when installing or using*

>>disk imaging applications for disaster recovery?

>>

>>*There appears to be a stock answer to many of my*

>>questions: Greed, indifference, and the arrogance of a

>>MONOPOLY!

>>

>>.

>>

>.

>