

Re: Loyal Microsoft Fan

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2004-03/25455.html>

From: Sender's name (*anonymous_at_discussions.microsoft.com*)

Date: 03/25/04

Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2004 12:02:08 -0800

>-----Original Message-----

>Because I don't feel like typing to you anymore because of the boredom...

>

>- Yup, my friend had a icon/bitmap company, he welcomed MS buying him out

>for a million bucks.

>- windows media player alternatives, winamp, jukebox, realplayer, quicktime

>- msn messenger, Yahoo messenger, ICQ, Trillian etc..

>- Email? Eudora, newgroup reader, Forte Newreader etc..

>- Paint, Jasc Paintshop, Photoshop

>- Notepad, various others Docpad, Editpad pro

>

>Again, you have no clue what you are talking about. Get a clue and

>comeback.. You might even fool us by actually having a real username on your

>newsreader.

>

>Tom

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Says the obediently brainwashed microsheap named Tom.

>

>"Sender's name" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message

>news:1365201c4129b\$e44ed920\$a501280a@phx.gbl...

>>

>> >-----Original Message-----

>> >Yup, the antitrust lawsuit was raised by who? The Linux community. Pushed

>> >forward to the government by Linux community.

>>

>> Oh, this is rich! The Linux community is the only force

>> *behind the antitrust lawsuit?!? If so, then they must be*
>> *much stronger than you thought. What about all of those*
>> *smaller 3rd party software companies trying to make it*
by
>> *creating products competitive to M\$ products who were*
>> *bought out by M\$? What about all of these companies who*
>> *were run out of business by M\$ not only bundling but*
>> *integrating THEIR software such as IE, Windows Media*
>> *Player, and Messenger into the windows OS?!? What about*
>> *all of the consumers (not necessarily pro linux) who*
>> *actually want a choice to use an OS w/out being forced*
to
>> *use the crappy bundled software with it?!? This doesn't*
>> *even begin to cover the separate states who sued M\$ for*
>> *many of the same reasons.*
>>
>> >
>> *>NT is copying Linux? Ok, explain that. I'm curious. You*
>> *have no clue what*
>> *>you are talking about.*
>>
>> *It's true, Windows NT was based off of the VMS OS, which*
>> *has many *nix like features in their earlier stages. NT*
>> *also claimed to have POSIX support.*
>>
>> *Pick up a few books. Linux and Windows have zero*
>> *>similarity and it is Linux now that is copying*
Windows...
>> *re: KDE, re: Gnome*
>> *>re: Windows on and on..*
>> >
>> *>Windows blows unix and linux out of the water because*
of
>> *two things.*
>> *>Usability & availability.*
>>
>> *OK, what about the fact then linux blows windows out of*
>> *the water by price, availability, and security?*
>>
>> *Linux even with all it's GUI's fails to even come*
>> *>close to offering the flexibility and ease of use*
Windows
>> *has.*
>>
>> *You obviously have not used linux then.*
>>
>> *>You have to be completely blind to not see that.*
>>
>> *I agree, completely blind not to see that linux is easy*
to

>> use and that it out preforms windows in all of the above
>> things and more.
>>
>> >Are you going to get your grandpa to go
>> >to a store and buy a linux box? I don't think so.
>> >
>> >If you build a car and it kicks the crap out of
american
>> and imports in
>> >sales and everyone begins buying your car and the
>> government turns around
>> >and says, start putting in Hyundai and Toyota and Ford
>> engines in your car
>> >or else, what are you going to do about it? And I guess
>> the first company
>> >who put a radio in their car and everyone else followed
>> suit must be bad
>> >too! Oh and wait, what about the electric heated seat?
>> >
>> >Microsoft has a right to do what they want with their
OS.
>> It's uneducated
>> >people who think otherwise. It's common sense.
>>
>> I agree that M\$ has the right to do what they want with
>> any of their software, so long as the convited predatory
>> monopoly they are don't go breaking any more laws over
it.
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>> >
>> >"Sender's name" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com>
>> wrote in message
>> >news:1094e01c4128b\$a16b89b0\$a601280a@phx.gbl...
>> >>
>> >> >-----Original Message-----
>> >> >BS. There is Linux(many flavors many GUI's),
Solaris,
>> >> Apple, AIX
>> >> >
>> >> >Wake up.
>> >> >
>> >> >MS is where they are because they continue to
innovate
>> >> their product,
>> >>
>> >> Yes, wake up! M\$ "innovates" their products by
trying
>> to
>> >> copy their competitors (just like when they built

>> *Windows*
>> >> *NT trying to copy unix or linux OSes, and did a very*
>> >> *crappy job of it)and buying out any and all competing*
>> >> *companies who innovate features and products that*
would
>> >> *blow any M\$ product out of the water!!! Remember the*
>> >> *antitrust lawsuit?!*
>> >>
>> >> *listen*
>> >> *>to customers and continue to add features to their*
>> >> *software. If Linux*
>> >> *>Torvalds got his head out of his a\$\$ and organized*
his
>> >> *contributors to work*
>> >> *>hard to make users have to use the command prompt*
less,
>> >> *his operating system*
>> >> *>would stand a chance.*
>> >>
>> >> *If you and Bill Gates got your collective heads out*
of
>> >> *your a\$\$es, you would realize that there are flavors*
of
>> >> *linux out that require little to no command line*
usage
>> >> *from users. Oh, I almost forgot, Bill can't actually*
>> *fit*
>> >> *his head up there since your nose is hogging it all.*
>> >>
>> >> *Also, it's not Linux Torvalds, it's Linus Torvalds,*
>> *rofl!*
>> >> *If the linux contributors were to "work hard to make*
>> *users*
>> >> *have to use the command prompt less" than the little*
to
>> >> *none they need to use it now, they would have a*
>> >> *overbloaded, insecure, bug-ridden POS just like*
Windows
>> >> *xp, but it would still be better becuae there would*
be
>> *no*
>> >> *product activation, and it would still be free!!!*
PMSL
>> >>
>> >> *The only people I know to have made the effort on*
>> >> *>Linux is Apple with OS/X. IBM quit with OS/2 and*
they
>> *did*
>> >> *that because they*
>> >> *>killed their reputation with release of OS/2 Warp.*
>> >> >

>> >> >Nobody holds a gun to your head and tells you to run
>> >> Windows and in my work
>> >> >place I have the choice of running Linux or
Windows. I
>> >> choose Windows. Note
>> >> >"I" chose Windows. Same applies to millions and
>> millions
>> >> of others.
>> >>
>> >> If you have such a choice in your workplace, then you
>> are
>> >> one of a very few. True, noone puts a gun to your
head
>> to
>> >> make you run Windows but if you "choose" to run
Windows
>> >> XP, then Billy boy holds the "PA gun" to your head,
>> >> including those who have paid for the software. Not
to
>> >> mention that for years and years, since Windows 3.1
in
>> my
>> >> memory, M\$ has made their software easy to pirate in
a
>> day
>> >> and age when most people don't even know they are
>> pirating
>> >> or that such a thing as pirating of an OS exists. MS
>> knew
>> >> what they were doing then, and now they have their
>> >> monopoly.
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Tom
>> >> >"Gordon" <me@privacy.net> wrote in message
>> >> >news:eAD2gpkEEHA.3676@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
>> >> >> "Spiros" <s3508193@students.vu.edu.au> wrote in
>> message
>> >> >> news:887E3F70-E5EF-4AC3-B67D-
>> >> >> 9CA7335E11C3@microsoft.com...
>> >> >> > Hi,
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > My name is Spiros and I'm appauled at everyone
>> trying
>> >> to get at
>> >> >Microsoft
>> >> >> as to how they develop and release their OS and
>> >> applications.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > I believe that it is the user's choice as to
them

>> >> *buying the software.*
>> >> >*If*
>> >> >> *they don't want it, then they don't buy it. It's*
>> *THEIR*
>> >> *CHOICE.*
>> >> >>
>> >> >> *Due to MS near-monopoly, there IS no choice.*
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >.
>> >> >
>> >
>> >
>> >.
>> >
>
>
>.
>