

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

## Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

---

*Source:*

[http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.new\\_user/2006-03/msg00057.html](http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.new_user/2006-03/msg00057.html)

---

- *From:* StargateFan <IDon'tAcceptSpam@IDon'tAcceptSpam.com>
  - *Date:* Wed, 22 Mar 2006 07:41:40 -0500
- 

Thanks, Dave. Did all that the 3 times I've installed Win2K (it wasn't just twice, I remembered after posting). Unless I'm missing something below or when I did each of the 3 installs carefully, nowhere was it explicitly stated how to reformat the drive. As mentioned, I am now working out of a very long folder named Administrator.\_ \_ \_ (bunch of letters and numbers). <g>

What I'm curious about is the message I found that I mentioned where someone else was complaining of same difficulty re formatting as this command not available in Win2K boot disks, or somesuch (something I also found) and whether or not it is feasible to at the beginning just put my Win98SE boot disk in and reformat via that? I hesitate to try it until I'm ready to do a fresh install of Win2K, but just curious if forum msg was correct. Don't remember all these years past but believe I would just reboot to DOS and then reformat and then put the Win98SE boot disk in.

Thanks. :oD

\*\*\*\*\*

On Wed, 22 Mar 2006 03:27:21 -0700, "Dave Patrick"  
<DSPatrick@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

To do a clean install, either boot the Windows 2000 install CD-Rom or setup disks. The set of four install disks can be created from your Windows 2000 CD-Rom; change to the \bootdisk directory on the CD-Rom and execute makeboot.exe (from dos) or makebt32.exe (from 32 bit) and follow the prompts.

Setup inspects your computer's hardware configuration and then begins to install the Setup and driver files. When the Windows 2000 Professional screen appears, press ENTER to set up Windows 2000 Professional.

Read the license agreement, and then press the F8 key to accept the terms of the license agreement and continue the installation.

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

When the Windows 2000 Professional Setup screen appears, all the existing partitions and the unpartitioned spaces are listed for each physical hard disk. Use the ARROW keys to select the partitions Press D to delete an existing partition, If you press D to delete an existing partition, you must then press L (or press ENTER, and then press L if it is the System partition) to confirm that you want to delete the partition. Repeat this step for each of the existing partitions When all the partitions are deleted press F3 to exit setup, (to avoid unexpected drive letter assignments with your new install) then restart the pc then when you get to this point in setup again select the unpartitioned space, and then press C to create a new partition and specify the size (if required). Windows will by default use all available space.

During Windows 2000 setup, at some point, will want to confirm the previous operating system for the upgrade; at that point you'll simply insert the qualified product install CD for it to verify. Then the install will proceed.

Check the pc, mb or hardware manufacturer's web site for the latest bios and or Windows 2000 drivers for your devices.

Be sure to apply these to your new install before connecting to any network (including internet).

<http://download.microsoft.com/download/E/6/A/E6A04295-D2A8-40D0-A0C5-241BFECD095E/W2KSP4>  
<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/MS03-043.msp>  
<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/bulletin/MS03-049.msp>

Then

Rollup 1 for Microsoft Windows 2000 Service Pack 4

<http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.aspx?amp;displaylang=en&familyid=B54730CF-8850-4531-B>

--

Regards,

Dave Patrick ....Please no email replies – reply in newsgroup.

Microsoft Certified Professional

Microsoft MVP [Windows]

<http://www.microsoft.com/protect>

"StargateFan" wrote:

| On Sat, 18 Mar 2006 09:56:49 -0500, Dan Seur <click@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

|

| >Looking at your 3 recent posts, I wonder if your troubles are caused by  
| >your having used the upgrade-in-place rather than the fresh-install path  
| >to get from W98SE to W2k. If that's not the case, stop reading here. :-)

|

| Well, the first time it was an upgrade as I didn't know which was  
| better. I tried to do an overlay install the second time believing

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

| posts that said the drive would automatically be re-formatted somehow.  
| It was not! I ended up with a new administrator reference with a  
| bunch of letters and numbers! <g> I've since read a possible solution  
| – we can use our Win98SE boot disk to reformat the drive and then to  
| put our Win2K boot disks and \_then\_ install the OS. I believe I'll go  
| that route next time, if indeed it is possible to do this. I'm sure  
| that there are vestiges of old stuff, too, at work here because the  
| drive hasn't been formatted properly unlike all my other fresh Win98SE  
| OS installs where I did that each time. That's probably making my  
| life even more difficult in this regard than it should be <g>.

| >What seems to be a great labor saver actually, in a great many cases,  
| >turns out to be a horrible mistake. The more or less uniform sentiment  
| >in these newsgroups in re moving from older to newer OSes is bite the  
| >bullet, do a fresh install, and reinstall all your apps. You'll have a

| Yes. I agree. This was done out of ignorance at how much trickier  
| Win2K can be in a home installation. I'm getting used to having to  
| fiddle more (since Win98SE is so much more straightforward), but  
| that's par for the course. As Scotty has said "the more complicated  
| the plumbing, the easier it is to stop up the drain!" <vbg>

| >stable glitch-free system if you do, and an endless series of headaches  
| >if you don't. The "automatic translation" processes needed to get  
| >correct registry entries, drivers, dlls etc etc by using driver lists,  
| >substitution tables, and the like is still an inexact art. Incomplete is  
| >the word. Dangerous, too. mumblemumbleharumph

| >  
| >PS: It is also the case that the NT family, including W2k, is much more  
| >robust, stable, and intolerant of 'slightly unreliable' hardware than  
| >the older DOS-based W9x systems. An older machine, particularly one  
| >designed for and delivered with some version of w9x, may very well not  
| >meet the stringent demands of W2k. In that case W2k, if it runs at all,  
| >may from time to time unexpectedly sense that there's been a hardware  
| >failure and declare itself maimed or even dead.

| Hmph! No comment.

| (I'm not prejudiced when it comes to older OSs. Mine does everything  
| I need it to do and judging from my conversations with others during  
| last 6 years, it's much, much more than the average home user does!  
| <g> The only thing I'd do if it were feasible is to get more memory  
| and a bigger hdd. But since the earlier is no longer really possible  
| without a lot of fuss, bother and money, I've not done the latter.)

| \*\*\*\*\*

| So, all the possible whys and wherefores of this sharing violation is  
| all well and good and very nice to talk about, but I still have a  
| problem <g>.

| I've gotten around one aspect of this whole sharing violation problem

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

| in that although I can't move files often, I can copy them. So I do  
| and then delete original file. One problem solved.

|  
| However, I'm still left with the problem of the ID3 tags. Even moving  
| a file doesn't always free it up to do this. Perhaps things will be  
| better once I do a completely fresh install after formatting my C  
| drive, but right now I use my MP3 player daily and am processing my  
| audio files and I really must be able to edit the tags.

|  
| Any thoughts on how to fix this sharing violation for editing these  
| files? Surely there must be a solution. I no longer care that I have  
| to fiddle for this, I use my player daily and need to find a reliable  
| working solution.

| TIA. :oD

| >StargateFan wrote:

| >> I very often can't edit or move files/folders without getting a  
| >> sharing violation message!

| >>

| >> I have a collection of MP3s, for example. I have a program that  
| >> allows me to change the ID3 tags very easily via a context menu tab  
| >> addition. This system worked with not one hitch in Win98SE for 6  
| >> years! I attempt to change tags now and this rarely works. I've  
| >> since installed another tag editor thinking it might be the app itself  
| >> giving me problems but no such thing! This tag editor has a message  
| >> column and 9 out of 10 times it shows an error message in that box  
| >> saying it couldn't change tag as file was in use!!! It was not in use  
| >> by \_me\_, but by Windows for Pete's sake!

| >>

| >> I just bought an mp3 player so I'm moving MP3 files off of my back-up  
| >> CDs all the time after which, as always, I remove the read-only  
| >> attribute. So due to the slightly higher volume of files I'm working  
| >> with, the error messages are happening with much greater frequency  
| >> than before and it's becoming a very serious problem. When I first  
| >> copy the MP3, it might allow me to change filename and tag. As the  
| >> original info is often too long and my mp3 portable player is a  
| >> 1"-square block and so the display is very small, I am constantly  
| >> trying to shorten names and tags! But Win2K is forever giving me  
| >> problems and doesn't allow me to do either.

| >>

| >> I've looked and looked through the internet archives of this ng but  
| >> there isn't much info re this problem and none of the solutions  
| >> offered have helped! I changed windows to classic view, for example,  
| >> nothing. D1 Process Explorer and that was no help at all. Etc., etc.  
| >> If there was an app that showed file extensions and the accompanying  
| >> process keeping a hold of it along with the option to kill that  
| >> process, \_that\_ would be the only thing that would help. Or stopping  
| >> this Win2K behaviour to begin with! I'm the administrator of my home  
| >> computer, for crying out loud! I should be the one to decide, as I  
| >> did for 6 years, what I want to do with my files!! <g>

Re: Major issues with sharing violation error messages all the time!

|>>  
|>> Can someone pls help? I'm new to maintaining my own Win2K at home so  
|>> I'm not very knowledgeable yet but just know I can't keep having these  
|>> problems! I was forced to upgrade to Win2K, however, because a couple  
|>> of new programs I need have a minimum requirement of Win2K. But I'm  
|>> getting so darned frustrated with all the glitches in this OS that I'm  
|>> ready to return to Win98SE for daily use and installing Win2K in a  
|>> small partition for just those other apps I need. But what a complete  
|>> drag if I have to go that route! But I have too many annoyances like  
|>> this creeping up with Win2K (despite sp 4!).  
|>>  
|>> Hope someone knows a definitive and workable daily solution to this  
|>> sharing violation thing that keeps coming up and I thank anyone in  
|>> advance for it! :oD  
|>>  
|>>  
|>>  
|>> ---  
|>> avast! Antivirus: Inbound message clean.  
|>> Virus Database (VPS): 0611-2, 03/17/2006  
|>> Tested on: 3/18/2006 9:35:13 AM  
|>> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.  
|>> <http://www.avast.com>  
|>>  
|>>  
|>>  
|>  
|>  
|>  
|>---  
|>avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.  
|>Virus Database (VPS): 0611-2, 03/17/2006  
|>Tested on: 3/18/2006 9:56:50 AM  
|>avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.  
|><http://www.avast.com>  
|>  
|>  
|