

Re: A query on raid arrays

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.general/2007-11/msg00282.html>

- *From:* "Dave Patrick" <DSPatrick@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 22 Nov 2007 19:01:42 -0700
-

No, that was correct. Backup and restore.

--

Regards,

Dave PatrickPlease no email replies – reply in newsgroup.
Microsoft Certified Professional
Microsoft MVP [Windows]
<http://www.microsoft.com/protect>

"nesredepegrob" wrote:

I have a 750 SATA disk on which I hold all my photos and video. When that disk is half full it will be a devil to backup. I therefore thought that I should install another similar disk in a raid array. Well I sat down and read and worked my way through it and eventually got there but not without some extra unexpected work – that being saving what was on the original 750GB disk as I got a message during the installation that all data would be lost.

I wonder if I got it wrong at some time as I would have thought that the new disk should have picked up what was already stored.

It is now done – I have the raid array working fine now – I just wonder if I could have done it in a smarter way.

For your information, my stats are:
Win 2000, Pentium IV 3Ghz
1 Gb memory,ADSL via router DSL 504G
200+200 GB disks partitioned C:D:E;as 9.76GB 88.2GB,88.2GB
F: G: as 93.1,93.1 for data
750 sata raid array[2] for photo and video
320GB external USB2 for Acronis Images (backups)
Borge Pedersen :-)
Perth, Australia
<mailto:borge@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
remove SPAM and underlines for email

Re: A query on raid arrays