

## Re: MS04-011 Status ?

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.general/2004-04/3841.html>

---

**From:** BeamGuy (*nobody\_at\_SPAM.com*)

**Date:** 04/22/04

Date: Thu, 22 Apr 2004 17:07:28 -0400

I'm running windows 2000 pro with the free ZoneAlarm firewall installed.  
Should the default zonealarm settings be ok?

"Bill Sanderson" <Bill\_Sanderson@msn.com.plugh.org> wrote in message  
news:OUDemhKKEHA.2660@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> *This patch has a rather long list of separate vulnerabilities.*

>

> *When I check the vuln details of at least one--ASN.1, the workarounds*

> *section reads:*

>

> *None.*

>

> *If I were running Windows XP SP2's firewall, I'd set it to the locked*

> *setting--no exceptions.*

>

> *If you can do that to your software firewall, I think I'd feel reasonably*

> *safe--that's the kind of setting which is appropriate on a shared ethernet*

> *in a public place, anyway.*

>

>

> *"BeamGuy" <nobody@SPAM.com> wrote in message*

> *news:%23FrzZKKEHA.2688@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...*

>> *Should I assume that a good software firewall would protect me when I plug*

>> *my*

>> *laptop into the hotel high speed internet portal tommorrow?*

>>

>>

>> *"Bill Sanderson" <Bill\_Sanderson@msn.com.plugh.org> wrote in message*

>> *news:%23gkXGWKKEHA.2680@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...*

>>> *FWIW, there is a publicly available attack script available for this*

>>> *vulnerability now. The likelihood is that such a script may enable a*

>>> *simple*

>>> *modification to an existing worm to use this vulnerability in the near*

>>> *future.*

>>>

>>> *"Jim Matthews" <jmweb@comcast.net> wrote in message*

>>> *news:%23l6bwpJKEHA.3216@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...*

> > > *Ok – I held off on installing these patches, and approving them for  
> > > installation on my consultant's (remote) laptops because of the  
> > > problems  
> > > noted in this group and elsewhere.  
> > >  
> > > Is there any response or change from MS ?  
> > >  
> > > I know – I can't afford to have a successful attack – but I certainly  
> > > cannot  
> > > have one of these laptops "crash and burn" – so that seems the lesser  
> > > risk.  
> > >  
> > > Any guidance/news on this is greatly appreciated  
> > >  
> > > JM  
> > >  
> > >  
> >>  
> >>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>  
>  
>*