

# RE: extending Active Directory

---

*Source:*

[http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.active\\_directory/2005-10/msg00651.html](http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.active_directory/2005-10/msg00651.html)

---

- *From:* v-stwang@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Steven Wang [MSFT])
  - *Date:* Fri, 28 Oct 2005 14:02:01 GMT
- 

Hi,

Thanks for your reply. If you have any other questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us. It is always our pleasure to be of assistance.

Have a nice weekend!

Steven Wang  
Microsoft CSS Online Newsgroup Support

-----  
>Thread-Topic: extending Active Directory  
>thread-index: AcXa7qm2ggfCHf0yQdG6aA3pEU4fhg==  
>X-WBNR-Posting-Host: 195.55.102.195  
>From: "=?Utf-8?B?cGFibG9mQG5vc3BhbS5wb3N0YWxpYXNM=?"  
<pablof@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>References: <55B70318-F45F-411B-A5F6-BA46AAF6AB@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
<ZnXh6UI2FHA.944@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>Subject: RE: extending Active Directory  
>Date: Thu, 27 Oct 2005 05:05:03 -0700  
>Lines: 85  
>Message-ID: <57FC94CE-054F-4947-8F09-29D10C538E59@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>MIME-Version: 1.0  
>Content-Type: text/plain;  
> charset="Utf-8"  
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit  
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft CDO for Windows 2000  
>Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message  
>Importance: normal  
>Priority: normal  
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.3790.0  
>Newsgroups: microsoft.public.win2000.active\_directory  
>NNTP-Posting-Host: TK2MSFTNGXA03.phx.gbl 10.40.2.250  
>Path: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl!TK2MSFTNGXA03.phx.gbl  
>Xref: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl microsoft.public.win2000.active\_directory:35110  
>X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.win2000.active\_directory  
>

RE: extending Active Directory

>ok.  
>Thank you  
>  
>"Steven Wang [MSFT]" escribiÃ³:  
>  
>> Hello,  
>>  
>> Thank you for posting.  
>>  
>> From your post, my understanding of this issue is: You have sent your  
>> schema naming prefix register request to schemereg@xxxxxxxxxxxxx but get  
no  
>> response. You would like to know whether there is any problem if you  
>> extend the schema without registering the schema naming prefix. If this  
is  
>> not correct, please feel free to let me know.  
>>  
>> Based on my research, if you would like to distribute your application,  
>> then you should register your schema naming prefix. Otherwise, your  
>> application may damage schema and affect AD and other applications. In  
>> addition, OIDs must be unique when extend the schema. When an attribute  
or  
>> class is added to the schema, no attribute or class with the same OID  
can  
>> be added. This is true even if a class or attribute has been disabled.  
>> For this reason it is you must use valid OIDs. Do not synthesize an  
OID,  
>> and do not reuse an existing OID. For more information about obtaining  
a  
>> valid OID, see Obtaining a Root Object Identifier:  
>>  
>><[http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/en-us/ad/ad/obtaining\\_an\\_object\\_identifie](http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/en-us/ad/ad/obtaining_an_object_identifie)  
>> r.asp>  
>>  
>> Therefore, I would suggest you send your schema naming prefix register  
>> request to schemereg@xxxxxxxxxxxxx again, and they should respond your  
>> request within two weeks. Meantime, you may also submit your feedback  
on  
>> the following site on this issue, and the appropriate team will respond  
>> your feedback as soon as possible:  
>>  
>><<https://support.microsoft.com/common/survey.aspx?scid=sw:en:1255&showpage=1>  
>> &ws=msdn&sd=msdn&pa=msdnw>  
>>  
>> I appreciate your understanding and cooperation. Should you have any  
>> questions or concerns, please feel free to let me know, and I will do my  
>> best to be of assistance.  
>>  
>> Have a nice day!  
>>  
>> Steven Wang

RE: extending Active Directory



RE: extending Active Directory

- **References:**

- ◆ **RE: extending Active Directory**

- ◆ *From:* Steven Wang [MSFT]

- Prev by Date: **Re: specified domain either does not exist...**
- Next by Date: **Re: impersonation using kerberos**
- Previous by thread: **RE: extending Active Directory**
- Next by thread: **DHCP Rogue Detection Problem**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**