

## Re: Caching Only DC?

**Source:**

[http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.active\\_directory/2004-05/1310.html](http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Win2000/microsoft.public.win2000.active_directory/2004-05/1310.html)

---

**From:** Diane McCorkle (*diane.mccorkle*)

**Date:** 05/14/04

Date: Fri, 14 May 2004 10:01:50 -0400

Thanks Joe,

Unfortunately all of us in the dept know this is a "worst practices" item

We're currently running a full DMZ with 2000 user accounts and as we expand our internal corporate WAN with the branches being moved over to the CORP AD it's become more and more difficult to keep internal and external accounts in sync.

It's a case of all internal users have an external account, but not all external users have an internal account. Only 50% of our branches are on the WAN at this point, the other 50 access this data over the internet.

They're looking for full internal AD info in the DMZ to authenticate web pages and folders on the secure site. This includes updating accounts from the internal AD as they change.

I hope this helps explain why we're approaching this in this odd and unsafe manner.

Diane

"Joe Richards [MVP]" <humorexpress@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:uEW973TOEHA.628@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

No this functionality does not exist yet and still isn't hammered out for Longhorn or Blackcomb, it is concept level only now.

I would not recommend you span your DMZ and internal network with a DC like that. If you need a DC specifically out there, set it up in its own forest with no trusts. If you just need some AD LDAP info, consider AD/AM with MIIS.

joe

--

Joe Richards Microsoft MVP Windows Server Directory Services  
www.joeware.net

Diane McCorkle wrote:

Re: Caching Only DC?

