

Re: Simple licensing question

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VisualStudio/microsoft.public.vsnnet.general/2005-06/msg00033.html>

- *From:* "Mr. Smith" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 2 Jun 2005 20:06:35 +0200
-

Thanx Sylvain!

Your answer pretty much helped me out on my question.

You know, not that I'm sure you care, my main issue is this:
Couple of years ago I developed an application in Access'97. I use it towards a SQL 7.0 database which holds all the data. The application worked as it should, and now I want to kind of "shrink-wrap" it without doing it all over again in Visual Studio, just to get an executable file on the client, instead of the client side .mdb file. I guess I want to publish a runtime version of Access to my client, which I can create if I have a box of VSTO 2003.

Anyway Sylvain, thanks again....

Mr.
Smith.

"Sylvain Lafontaine" <sylvain.wei.ca (fill the blanks, no spam please)>
wrote in message news:%231WmDS5ZFHA.1368@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

- > This is not a licensing question.
- >
- > If we exclude the possibility that you have made a confusion between the
- > use of a MDB file as a backend database and the installation/use of a full
- > Access frontend application, then you need the runtime version of Access
- > for doing this and the "Microsoft Office Access 2003 Developer Extensions"
- > (its new name for the runtime) is only sold as part of the VSTO or with a
- > MSDN Universal Subscription (the VSTO version that comes with the MSDN Pro
- > and Enterprise versions doesn't include the Microsoft Office Access 2003
- > Developer Extensions, see note 3 on
- > <http://msdn.microsoft.com/howtobuy/subscribers/compare/>) and no Visual
- > Studio version includes the VSTO to my knowledge.
- >
- > (The simple fact that the Enterprise and Pro versions of MSDN doesn't
- > include should tell you all about the possibility of finding a version of
- > VS.NET with it.)
- >

Re: Simple licensing question

> The boxed version VSTO also includes a version of VB.NET 2003 and of
> SQL-Server 2000 Developer Edition; however, with the wide public
> availability of the VS.NET 2005 Beta 2 and of SQL-Express 2005 CTP
> editions, this leaves very few incentives to buy it for this
>
> --
> Sylvain Lafontaine, ing.
> MVP - Technologies Virtual-PC
> E-mail: <http://cerbermail.com/?QugBLEWINF>
>
>
> "Mr. Smith" <nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> <news:eHFfzx3ZFHA.2308@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>> Hi.
>> I need Visual Studio Tools for Office 2003 to be able to ship MS Access
>> applications without MS Access on the client. VST for Office 2003 is
>> pretty cheap. What I really want is the whole Visual Studio Suite 2003,
>> which costs a lot more. If I buy Visual Studio Suite 2003, do I have the
>> appropriate license to ship MS Access applications to clients without
>> Access, or do I still need the VST for Office 2003?
>>
>>
>> Strange question? Yes. But please answer me if you can.
>>
>> (Probably not called Visual Studio Suite 2003, but it has some .NET in
>> there, but you understand don't you?)
>>
>>
>> Mr
>> Smith
>>
>
>

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: Simple licensing question**
 ◇ From: Sylvain Lafontaine
- ◆ **Re: Simple licensing question**
 ◇ From: Sylvain Lafontaine

• *References:*

- ◆ **Simple licensing question**
 ◇ From: Mr. Smith
- ◆ **Re: Simple licensing question**
 ◇ From: Sylvain Lafontaine

Re: Simple licensing question

- Prev by Date: [*crystal reports error*](#)
- Next by Date: [*FileSystemWatcher and Windows 2003*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Simple licensing question*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Simple licensing question*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)