

Re: VB6 lives?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2008-02/msg01535.html>

- *From:* "Ken Halter" <Ken_Halter@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 13 Feb 2008 09:40:44 -0800
-

"Karl E. Peterson" <karl@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:O7hMXOebIHA.1376@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Michael C wrote:

They should have used something other than vb6 though.

Why? MSFT is now on the hook to support the runtime for another ten years. Seems silly to use a less capable tool.

—
.NET: It's About Trust!
<http://vfred.mvps.org>

What's funny (dotNot) is... some of the guys here use Paint Shop Pro... have been for years. When they released their latest, of course everyone here wanted an "upgrade"... and got one.

Seems that PSP migrated to dotNet, so of course, a program that used to "snap to life" now crawls out from under a rock, checks the internet for updates, displays a hideous nag screen (they had to do *something* to convince people it was still running and not hung) and changed the entire UI... guess what? <g> Not one person here uses the upgrade. They removed it and re-installed the newest "pre-dotNet" version they could find.

Same goes for the HMI development environments we use here (RSView32 and WinCC – sidenote.. WinCC is junk, Seimans PLCs are junk – Go Rockwell <g>).

As soon as dotNet is introduced, "useful" functionality, as opposed to functionality some hotshot dotNetter thinks is important at the time, goes down the tubes, along with any hint of performance.... but, one thing's for certain. The apps are "up to date", since, during startup, the user is forced to endure their "checking for updates" dialog.... actually, it's probably not checking for updates... it's probably just finding excuses to take so long to start <g>

—

Re: VB6 lives?

Ken Halter – MS–MVP–VB – Please keep all discussions in the groups..
In Loving Memory – <http://www.vbsight.com/Remembrance.htm>