

Re: Instr()

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2007-08/msg00569.html>

- *From:* dpb <none@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 07 Aug 2007 23:26:55 -0500
-

Michael C wrote:

"dpb" <none@xxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:f9b8on\\$dal\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:f9b8ondal1@xxxxxxxxxxx)

In the end, it's pretty much a tempest in a teapot, but there is no doubt the use of "API" for intrinsics and standard library routines is well out of the stream of ordinary nomenclature. The only reason for being somewhat persnickety in this regard is that communication is eased significantly if consistent terminology is employed. Otherwise, confusion reigneth even more than normal... :(

Do you have **any** information that shows API excludes inbuilt functions? Why are inbuilt functions any different from any other API?

Because they _are_ builtin — that's what "intrinsic" means (and in this case "standardese" matches common usage)...

Do you have **any** information that shows that API includes intrinsic functions (for those languages with the concept)?

—
.