

Re: VB6, VB2005, or Something Else?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2006-02/msg02389.html>

- *From:* erewhon@xxxxxxxxxxx (J French)
 - *Date:* Sat, 25 Feb 2006 14:40:34 +0000 (UTC)
-

On Sat, 25 Feb 2006 07:55:50 -0600, "Ralph"
<nt_consulting64@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
<snip>

I have to agree that the new Qt/Windows looks better. It has always been an interesting product. A bit pricey tho.

Tried it (console eval) once for a few simple services/daemons – it had a bit of trouble with the differences in threading models. Ended up doing almost as many conditional compiles as we would have done without it. But that wasn't a very fair test. It did "Address Book" well.

If a fellow needed to go there, Qt/Windows looks like the toy to have.

It would seem that the best solution for portability is still "C" and always has been. We have only been mucking about the wilderness for the last 30 years. <g>

I saw this :-

=====
Windows Emulator under Linux – Crossover Office

<http://www.codeweavers.com/>
=====

Personally I reckon that someone is going to offer a dual boot image, or a switchable system, that provides morons with Open Office, the chance to attempt to run 'Windows' Apps under Linux, and a pure Win section if they want it.

Re: VB6, VB2005, or Something Else?

Curiously, it is the domestic market that drives manufacturing
– they would be happier with a 'toaster' and a few disk on keys

In a few years, I would not be surprised to see a PC as effectively a
keyboard and mouse, servicing standalone systems that can be hot
swapped.

.