

## Re: Wish I was using .net

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2006-02/msg01674.html>

---

- *From:* "Lance Wynn" <[LanceWynn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:LanceWynn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 19 Feb 2006 19:50:47 -0700
- 

Oh,

Then I have to agree with MikeD, that's just the way the language is. In ..NET, or any language for that matter you'll find a number of runtime errors that for whatever reason the compiler won't catch. It has nothing to do with the language, or the compiler. There are a number of instances in VB where you wouldn't want the compiler to raise an error at compile time if there were a potential type mismatch. If you don't catch something in testing, then you don't catch it, that's how every language works.

Lance

"Michael C" <[nospam@xxxxxxxx](mailto:nospam@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:ewYtbNcNGHA.344@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:ewYtbNcNGHA.344@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Lance Wynn" <[LanceWynn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:LanceWynn@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:%23ysif%23bNGHA.916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23ysif%23bNGHA.916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Can you post some code samples, I too cannot get this to compile. Having a function return a connection, and trying to set that to a command object gives me a type mismatch at runtime. I am interested in learning under what circumstances this can occur so I can avoid it if I come across it.

That is what it is meant to do. The point is you get it at runtime, not at compile time like it should.

Michael