

## Re: On the topic of religion: Church of Registry vs. The INlonists...

**Source:** <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2004-08/1037.html>

---

**From:** J French ([erewhon\\_at\\_nowhere.com](mailto:erewhon_at_nowhere.com))

**Date:** 08/08/04

Date: Sun, 8 Aug 2004 16:01:51 +0000 (UTC)

On Sun, 8 Aug 2004 06:54:38 -0500, "Ralph"  
<nt\_consulting32@hotmail.com> wrote:

<snip>

>*This newsgroup is haunted by iconoclastic, highly territorial,*  
>*users of classic VB (vb1-6). Any mention of .NET within this group is likely*  
>*to brand you with the mark of satan and bring out the worse in some*  
>*otherwise intelligent programmers. <g>*

<snip>

Satan is not stupid  
Visual Fred.Net does not bear the mark of Satan

– it is just another half assed attempt by the 'C' freaks at MS to  
kill off BASIC – the last one was in the mid 1980s, but Mr W Gates  
intervened and declared that VBA was to be the MS inter App ...

Personally I don't care that much  
Older versions exist, and work.

If MS wants to thank us old b\*stards for replacing and closing down  
mainframes, then he is a bit senile.  
PCs took off because they were a cheap way of getting the job done.  
They turned 'analysts' into programmers

We had a slight hiccup when 'Network Administrators' appeared  
– they were pretty easy to get rid of

.NET will be ignored by people who can live without it  
– and if one cannot live without .NET then one is a pretty crude form  
of pond life

Why buy a 20kg mobile that scans your picture, when a 200gm 5 year old  
thing will do the same job (but not provide unwanted functionality)

microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion: Re: On the topic of religion: Church of Registry vs. The INlonists...

MS don't write application programs

The sad thing is that if they did not have their heads stuck so firmly up their own fundamental orifice, they would be able to see what is really required.

Gradual and seductive development.