

Re: VB6 vs VB .Net

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/VB/microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion/2004-02/4186.html>

From: Howard Kaikow (kaikow_at_standards.com)

Date: 02/25/04

Date: Wed, 25 Feb 2004 02:18:50 -0500

I'm gradually going to convert all my VB and VBA into VB .NET. I have no incentive to use other than "VB" until Office becomes fully .NET-ized. Once the VB/VBA is converted to .NET, I'll more easily migrate to C#, or whatever, since the painful distinctions 'tween VB Forms and VBA Userforms will, hopefully, be no more.

--

<http://www.standards.com/>; See Howard Kaikow's web site.
"Bob O`Bob" <filterbob@yahoogroups.com> wrote in message
news:403C3758.507B@yahoogroups.com...
> Ian Bayly wrote:
> >
> > As a long time user of VB (I actually have manuals which came with VB in
> > those days), those that know more about these things than I do, tell me
> I
> > should upgrade from VB6 to VB .Net.
> > I have no interest or need to be involved with any web applications.
> >
> > Should I upgrade Yes/No?
>
> Maybe change, but not "upgrade". Certainly not to VB.Net
>
> VB6 has a limited future potential, that's for sure.
>
> But there's just about zero evidence that VB.Net has any future at all
> outside of scripting Active Server Pages. It's pretty much only *this
> month*,
> after 3+ years of yammering on about webservice this and framework that,
> the
> dotnet sycophant magazines and forums have finally started publishing
> articles
> about "winforms" applications. A dozen or so of us went up to Redmond, at
> the
> invitation of the VB and VS teams, and practically BEGGED for the
> resources to
> generate those kinds of articles THREE YEARS AGO.
>
> I recommend sticking with VB6 for as long as it serves your needs, then
> when
> it doesn't any more, switch to anything else, *anything at all* other than
> VB.Net
> Some VB experts have switched to Java, some to Delphi, some to C#, each of
> which
> appears to have much a brighter future than VB.anything

microsoft.public.vb.general.discussion: Re: VB6 vs VB .Net

> Maybe, just *maybe*, someone up there will come to their senses and realize
> that the golden-egg-goose of Classic VB isn't necessarily quite dead yet.
> (hopefully before the dotbet scourge kills MS Office)
> But a few backward-looking too-little-too-late features in "whidbey" are
> more of a slap in the face than any real showing of respect.
> It's about as if some people on the opposite side of a wide raging river
> built *part* of a bridge *from their side* about 10% (or even 90%) across.
> How much would that help you cross?
>
> And there's plenty of reason to believe they'll abandon it all over again
> if the marketoons decide the wind is blowing another way.
>
>
>
> Bob
> --
> looking for work again <<http://obob.com/bob/resume/>>