

## Re: MOSS2007 and SQL2005 on Single Server

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SharePoint/microsoft.public.sharepoint.portalserver/2007-06/msg00351.html>

---

- *From:* "Corro'll Driskell" <[cdriskell@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:cdriskell@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 19 Jun 2007 23:37:29 -0400
- 

Obviously, I would be concerned with scaling issues moving forward. Of course, I concur with Mike's response referencing deployment of a low cost solution.

"Mike Walsh" <[englantilainen@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:englantilainen@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:%23NxRffbsHHA.5008@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23NxRffbsHHA.5008@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

My understanding is that it is *\*any\** database. However naturally that is likely to be a content database ...

I doubt if they seriously expect anyone to run production MOSS using this thing. They would probably actually prefer that no-one runs MOSS in production on anything less than 2 servers so it's imo intentionally crippled.

The version for WSS 3.0 isn't because a single server setup is quite feasible for that (and it provides a low price entry point is no doubt another reason).

Mike Walsh

WSS FAQ <http://www.wssfaq.com>

no questions by e-mail please

AJ wrote:

On 18 Jun, 12:55, "Mike Walsh" <[englantilai...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:englantilai...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

The only issue is in a year's time, the database size will require you to upgrade to SQL Server

With MOSS 2007 this would be true (there is a limit of 4GB in the database

Re: MOSS2007 and SQL2005 on Single Server

size, which I presume is what you are referring to).

However with WSS 3.0 (which you seem to be suggesting he should use)

there

isn't such a problem as the free version of embedded SQL Server 2005

Express

("Windows Internal Database" is the new official name) that you get with

WSS

3.0 doesn't have any database size restriction.

I didn't want to go into that in my reply which is why I just left it

(for

\*Moss\*) as "I hope you are using real SQL Server" ... (to avoid that 4GB

limit).

Mike Walsh

"SmartbizAustralia" <t...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

[news:1182167053.136355.160160@xx](mailto:news:1182167053.136355.160160@xx)

If you are hosting this yourself start with Windows 2003 and install WSS3.

You can later move up to Moss, but for the number of users the costs are quite high.

Even the versions of SQL is not an issue initially. You can run all of this with SQL Express. The only issue is in a year's time, the database size will require you to upgrade to SQL Server and by then SQL Server 2008 might be out.

i.e. Your system will need to grow as the load on it increases.

Regards,

Tom Bizannes

Sydney, Australia– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Re: MOSS2007 and SQL2005 on Single Server

Thanks to the both of you.

I was planning on using the full blown SQL product and not using the flavour with the 4GB limit.

Is this a restriction of the content database i.e. where documents etc will be stored. Seems very small. Wouldn't take anyone 5 minutes to fill this up, or am I mis understanding?

WSS3.0 is out of the question as the customer already has MOSS2007 installed and they want to continue to use this, even though WSS would be more suited.

Thanks

AJ