

Re: SP1 does not work

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.setup/2004-04/0350.html>

From: Tibor Karaszi (*tibor_please.no.email_karaszi_at_hotmail.nomail.com*)

Date: 04/21/04

Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 13:23:24 +0200

Perhaps you hit some other bug then the one referred to in SP1? I suggest you open a case with MS Support for this.

--

Tibor Karaszi, SQL Server MVP

<http://www.karaszi.com/sqlserver/default.asp>

"AA" <not@noreply.com> wrote in message news:e\$005w3JEHA.208@tk2msftngpl3.phx.gbl...

> This mail is regarding a trouble I'm facing with SQL Server 2000. I'm
> working with ASP .NET V 1.1 and SQL Server 2000. I have a reporting module
> that generates XML reports from the SQL Server 2000 database. Things are
> fine and running, but at times I get a fatal SQL Server Exception when I
> access reports continuously. That is, this error occurs after I generate a
> report and immediately invoke another report. But the same process works
> without any problem some other time!

> The exception says" Process 55 generated fatal exception c0000005
> EXCEPTION_ACCESS_VIOLATION. SQL Server is terminating this process."?

>

>

>

> Searching the cause of this bug, I learnt that the fix for this SQL bug is
> in SQL Server 2000 Service Pack 1. I installed it, but the error is still
> there. I tried service pack 3 also, but of no use. I also tried connecting
> to the database by setting "pooling=false" in the connection string so that
> every time I go to the database with a new connection. I did this thinking
> that it might be a corrupted connection in the pool which is creating the
> error, but of no effect.

>

>

>

> From the error, I can assess that it's an unmanaged memory violation
> exception that is occurring at the server. I also doubt whether it's any
> deadlock occurring at the server when the current connection tries to access
> the resource occupied by a previously unclosed connection in the database.

>

>

>

> I also got one more misleading error in the same context, which says
> "General Network Error. Please check your network documentation". I wonder
> whether this error is related to the previous bug.

>

>

>