

## Re: Multiple databases

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.server/2004-10/3499.html>

---

**From:** Derrick Leggett (*derrickleggett\_at\_yahoo.com*)

**Date:** 10/27/04

Date: Tue, 26 Oct 2004 23:00:35 -0500

Instances provide better isolation from a security and environment perspective. I've isolated the HR and accounting environments to their own instances for this reason. We also have third party on a different instance from standard production. Other than that, the mainline production (in-house) systems are all on one production instance.

"Mark Allison" <marka@no.tinned.meat.mvps.org> wrote in message news:u2VtYs3uEHA.1616@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...

> *sql*,

>

> *Multiple instances consumes much, much more resources. I rarely use*

> *multi-instance SQL Servers except for dev and test environments.*

> *Definitely go for multi-database.*

> --

> *Mark Allison, SQL Server MVP*

> <http://www.markallison.co.uk>

>

> *Looking for a SQL Server replication book?*

> <http://www.nwsu.com/0974973602m.html>

>

>

> *sql* wrote:

>> *Which is better..*

>>

>> *Multiple databases on a single instance of SQL*

>> *OR*

>> *Multiple instances of Sql server, for multiple databases?*