

microsoft.public.sqlserver.server: Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.server/2004-07/3936.html>

From: Peter the Spate (*anonymous_at_discussions.microsoft.com*)

Date: 07/28/04

Date: Wed, 28 Jul 2004 08:08:18 -0700

Hi Larry,

ob_owner means you can do anything to that particular database. If there are other databases you can attack to then you may have different access rights.

I would suggest you have a look at

http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/architec/8_ar_da_8yur.asp and its sub

groups for a bit of background

Peter

MCDBA

>-----Original Message-----

>My database is remote to my workstation. I am using EM on the workstation.

>The database has a userid/password (not 'sa') but the userid has "public"

>and "db_owner" roles. Does the "db_owner" have complete access to all

>tables/fields WITHOUT specifying anything in the permissions dialogs?

>

>My "public" role only has "SELECT" and "EXEC" permissions.

>

>Thanks, again.

>

>Larry Woods

>

>And,

>"Keith Kratochvil" <sqlguy.back2u@comcast.net> wrote in message

>news:%23PTfc5KdEHA.3728@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

>> wrong

Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

microsoft.public.sqlserver.server: Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

>> *table permissions are important. They are required in order to*
>> *access/update/delete data.*
>>
>> *HOW are you connecting to SQL Server?*
>> *NT Authentication?*
>> *SQL Server Authentication?*
>>
>> *If SQL Server auth, what account are you using? sa?*
If so, that is the
>> *"GOD" account. You have full permissions to do anything and everything.*
>>
>> *If you are using NT auth, what rights does your NT account have? Is it*
>> *assigned server or database roles that allow the activities that you are*
>> *performing?*
>>
>> *Finally, what rights/permissions have been granted to the PUBLIC role?*
>> *Often (unfortunately) companies simply grant all rights to the public*
>> *role...and then every account (SQL and NT) inherit the rights assigned to*
>*the*
>> *public role. For obvious reasons this is a bad security model.*
>>
>> *SQL Server has a strong security model and it works well when it is setup*
>*by*
>> *someone who knows what they are doing. In the wrong hands....*
>>
>> --
>> *Keith*
>>
>>
>> *"Larry Woods" <larry@NOSPAMlwoods.com> wrote in message*
>> *news:eM31J1KdEHA.3864@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...*
>> > *I have a SQL Server database that has database userid/password*
>*protection.*
>> > *When I open the database through EM I can add/delete/modify any table,*
>*but*
>> > *when I look at the permissions for any of the tables there are NO*
>*options*
>> > *set for any user of the database! I don't understand*

Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

microsoft.public.sqlserver.server: Re: Newbie: I don't understand user permissions for table access

the purpose of the

>> > *permissions, I guess, since they don't have to be set*
in order to get

>> *access*

>> > *to the tables.*

>> >

>> > *TIA,*

>> >

>> > *Larry Woods*

>> >

>> >

>>

>

>

>.

>