

Re: what's the difference between Linked servers and Remote servers under EM/security?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.server/2004-06/0380.html>

From: Sue Hoegemeier (*Sue_H_at_nomail.please*)

Date: 06/02/04

Date: Wed, 02 Jun 2004 17:48:52 -0600

Remote servers are for backwards compatibility. You should be using linked servers instead.

Both remote servers and linked servers add a row to master..sys.servers. The server name needs to be unique.

-Sue

On Wed, 2 Jun 2004 14:07:12 -0700, "Steve Pdx" <lins@nospam.portptld.com> wrote:

>background sql2k, on NT5 box

>

>can someone educate me the difference (proper usage) of the two?

>One thing I noticed is that if there's a remote server named 'RemoteServerA'

>exists (just an example here),

>I couldn't create a linked server with the same name! Why??? and what's the

>workaround? it doesn't make much sense to name a linked server (or remote

>server) different from the target server you intend to reference to.

>

>thank you..

>