

# Re: Question about replicating stored procedure execution

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.replication/2006-02/msg00197.html>

---

- *From:* "Hilary Cotter" <[hilary.cotter@xxxxxxxx](mailto:hilary.cotter@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 9 Feb 2006 20:32:17 -0500
- 

Exactly. Its not commonly used because most people don't know about it, and secondly sometimes the tables referenced by the stored procedures are different on the publisher and subscriber and therefore you get different dml on either side.

Other people want the proc run within a serializable transaction for consistency, which is another option. Is the proc is not run within a serializable transaction, the DML is replicated instead of the proc.

--

Hilary Cotter

Looking for a SQL Server replication book?

<http://www.nwsu.com/0974973602.html>

Looking for a FAQ on Indexing Services/SQL FTS

<http://www.indexserverfaq.com>

<pshroads@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

[news:1139532907.083047.89490@xx](mailto:news:1139532907.083047.89490@xx)

I am doing some research in advance of setting up replication. I've read about replicating stored procedure execution and I need clarification on what it is exactly.

My understanding is that, for example, if you have a stored procedure on the publisher that modifies 10,000 rows then replication will not replicate the 10,000 modifications to the subscriber but will rather pass along the execute command which will then be executed locally at the subscriber. Do I have that correct?

Is this a common practice in a replication scenario?

Thanks

Re: Question about replicating stored procedure execution