

Re: Merge replication issue

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.replication/2004-08/0387.html>

From: Cmoss (*Cmoss_at_discussions.microsoft.com*)

Date: 08/11/04

Date: Wed, 11 Aug 2004 15:17:05 -0700

Paul,

Thanks for the response. I do have filters on the articles, but the data that is being removed is from the same tables that data was successfully synchronized from before. I have changed the conflict type on some of my crucial articles to be "subscriber always wins" because that is where the data originates from. It is my understanding that I can change this parameter at any time, correct?

"Paul Ibison" wrote:

> *Cmoss,*
>
> *do you have filters? If the rows aren't allowed through the filter clause,*
> *this could explain why records added at the subscriber are removed on*
> *synchronization.*
>
> *The default resolver is publisher always wins, and if 2 subscribers*
> *conflict, the first attains the priority of the publisher.*
>
> *HTH,*
>
> *Paul Ibison*
>
>
>