

Re: Migrating Sybase to SQL Server

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.replication/2004-07/0673.html>

From: Hilary Cotter (*hilaryk_at_att.net*)

Date: 07/19/04

Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2004 11:22:25 -0400

SQL Server offers more features and better performance at no extra cost.

"Ignacio" <ijhm14@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8531fddc.0407190712.64cc58d1@posting.google.com...

> Hilary,

>

> *Is there any performance advantage that could make a difference? Just
> trying find other possible issues.*

>

> Ignacio

>

> "Hilary Cotter" <hilaryk@att.net> wrote in message
news:<OFzKPUbEHA.996@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl>...

> > *its basically the same model however*

> >

> > *1) in Sybase its a seperate "server" which you have to pay for, in SQL*

> > *Server its an optional component with no extra charge*

> > *2) in Sybase the data flow is: publisher, distributor, static work*

> > *queue,*

> > *subscriber. In SQL Server its publisher, distributor, subscriber. SQL*

> > *Server*

> > *has a simpler model.*

> >

> > --

> > Hilary Cotter

> > *Looking for a book on SQL Server replication?*

> > <http://www.nwsu.com/0974973602.html>

> >

> >

> > "Ignacio" <ijhm14@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > news:8531fddc.0407180845.6e83291a@posting.google.com...

> > > *Hi All,*

> > >

> > > *I have a customer evaluating the move from Sybase 10 to SQL Server.*

> > > *Among other considerations, he is questioning the replication feature*

> > > *that currently let him keep in synch two transactional servers with*

> > > *each other. I'm wondering if anyone out there has a comparison of both*

> > > *features that could be of help in this situation. Also, a practical,*

microsoft.public.sqlserver.replication: Re: Migrating Sybase to SQL Server

> > > *from the trenches, comparison of both platforms would be appreciated.*

> > >

> > > *Regards,*

> > >

> > > *Ignacio*