

## Re: Using transactions to insert into to a table while allowing read access to existing data

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.programming/2004-11/2601.html>

---

**From:** Steve Kass (*skass\_at\_drew.edu*)

**Date:** 11/10/04

Date: Wed, 10 Nov 2004 13:15:51 -0500

Sean,

I don't think what you want is possible, at least not in any simple way. If an update has been made but is uncommitted, "data as it existed prior to the transaction" is simply not available, at least not for any purpose but to roll back the transaction.

Suppose you are halfway through the update transaction and have completed but not committed 500 updates. Now someone attempts to read one of these 500 rows. The "data as it existed prior to the transaction" is not in the table. The rows have been updated, and the rows with uncommitted updates are marked with locks. The updates are uncommitted, so a SELECT will be blocked from reading them if the isolation level is READ COMMITTED (the default), or a SELECT will be allowed to read them if the isolation level is READ UNCOMMITTED (or if there is a NOLOCK hint on the SELECT).

Either the SELECT is blocked or it reads the uncommitted updated data. Those are the only choices unless you introduce a staging table for the updates, row versioning, or some other sort of logic to handle the data while it is being updated.

Steve Kass  
Drew University

Sean Aitken wrote:

> *Hello,*  
>  
> *Sorry for the long subject, but this is a very intersting problem I am*  
> *having. I am faced with the following situation:*  
>  
> *- Single table used by various applications for read-only lookups*  
> *- Updates to that table are slow due to network latency (~5 minutes)*  
>

- > *I am updating the table by beginning a transaction, performing many*
- > *inserts, then finally committing the transaction. The problem I am*
- > *encountering is that during the entire transaction, this table is*
- > *locked for the duration of the transaction. This is preventing the*
- > *consumer apps (there are quite a few of them, over 1,000 users) from*
- > *SELECT'ing any data, as there is a block on the table.*
- >