

Re: SSAS 2005 perform worse performance that 2000

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.olap/2006-11/msg00178.html>

- *From:* "Vimas" <Vimas@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:56:05 -0500
-

When you say "migrate", do you mean you used Migration wizard, or you actually re-designed system? There are so many changes in SSAS 2005 and in my opinion you do need to adjust your design to take advantage of them. Have you went through each dimension and adjusted attribute relationship? How many dimensions and how big are some of them?

"Ramunas Balukonis" <ramblk2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:1163056194.603024@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Hi,

we have migrate our cubes from our 32 bit olap 2000 machine to x64 bit 2005.

But after migrating seems 2005 perform worse performance in many cases that

2000. On our new server we have 8CPU and 64 GB of memory, i gave 20 GB to sql server and leave all SSAS memory options default because MS does not recommend change memory settings.

Our cube files takes about 35 GB, but database Estimated Size from cube properties shows only 206 MB. Cube is partitioned.

Looking at perform, I see that Total queries from file is about 2000, Total queries from cache filtered 500000, Total queries from cache direct 30000.

So, only few percent of queries answered from files, all others – from cache. In task manager msmdsrv takes 2 GB of memory. But in perform MSAS 2005: Cache shows only 700 MB of memory and is the same as Memory AggcacheKB. Is it OK?

Also, in the same MSAS 2005: Misses/sec is about 50 percent of Lookups/sec.

Is it normal? So, every second query pass cache? Why?

Also, interesting case with counter MSAS 2005: Proc aggregations memory size

Re: SSAS 2005 perform worse performance than 2000

bytes. I used this perform in SQL 2000 to see if there are any job creating aggregates, especially it was useful when I did incremental dimensions update. In SQL 2005 this counter shows nonzero only when partitions process are in "aggregate" state. But when I do incremental update for dimensions this counter always shows 0. Is it normal behaviour?
One more thing – do I need to specify "slice" property for the partition?
I read about this option I need to set only for ROLAP partitions. I tried to set slice property, but the same result. I missed way to know how many partitions are participating into olap query.

Please, are there any suggestions how to optimize SSAS 2005 cubes.

Ramunas Balukonis