

Re: Difference between = and IN

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.mseq/2005-02/0042.html>

From: Hugo Kornelis (*hugo_at_pe_NO_rFact.in_SPAM_fo*)

Date: 02/07/05

Date: Mon, 07 Feb 2005 21:11:53 +0100

On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 07:19:02 -0800, Andy wrote:

>Hi Hugo

>

>I misunderstood what you meant in the previous post. I have worked out in
>the past that you have to use 'IS [NOT] NULL' rather than '<> Null' (or 'IN
>Null' or '= Null'). I thought you meant that NOT IN (1,2) would return
>strange results if Nulls were present in the records whereas (I believe) NOT
>IN (1,2) would return all rows that did not contain a 1, 2 or Null.

Hi Andy,

That's correct. It's good to see that you know that rows containing NULL will not be returned by NOT IN (1, 2) – this is also a hefty surprise for many people!

Best, Hugo

--

(Remove _NO_ and _SPAM_ to get my e-mail address)