

## Re: ver 2000 vs 2005

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.connect/2005-11/msg00036.html>

---

- *From:* "Carlos" <ch\_sanin@xxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Tue, 8 Nov 2005 11:25:46 -0500
- 

Hi again Andrew,

thanks again for your help. For the record, I was finally able to connect to the remote SQL server 2005. Unfortunately, I believe that books online does not describe that you can assign a default port for your instance when clicking the TCP properties in the network configuration. Apparently you need to define your port there too. I did not test removing the port definition from within the IP addresses dialog box, and leaving the default port. In any case it was some kind of painful learning experience.

Carlos.

"Andrew J. Kelly" <sqlmvpnoospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:OJ4ewmH5FHA.444@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OJ4ewmH5FHA.444@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> In your original post you had this:

>

>>>In 2005, I went to configuration Manager/Protocols/TCP IP, and entered  
>>>1433 as the port in IP1, IP2, and IP3

>

> That led me to believe you had in fact attempted to use 1433 for the 2005  
> instance. In any case I don't believe you need to specify the instance  
> name if you are going to specify the port and an ip address.

>

>>>> this.sqlConnection1.ConnectionString = "Server=xxx.xx.xx.xx,2444  
>>>> ;Database=AdventureWorks;User  
>>>> ID=myUID;Password=myPWD;Trusted\_Connection=True";

>

> <http://www.connectionstrings.com/>

>

>

>

> --

> Andrew J. Kelly SQL MVP

>

>

> "Carlos" <chsanin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Re: ver 2000 vs 2005

> [news:e2lgJD84FHA.744@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:e2lgJD84FHA.744@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>> Hi again Andrew,  
>>  
>> I am sorry but you probably misunderstood me. In my firewall I already  
>> have 1433 for the default instance, so  
>> I defined a different (new) port number for the named  
>> instance.in the 2005 configuration manager, and the firewall (say 2534).  
>> So that is why I said that I did not changed it in my default. That way I  
>> left the default port number configuration for the default instance, and  
>> configured a new port to listen for the named instance. If you see my  
>> connection string you will notice it. I followed the books online, and I  
>> believe that I am doing whatever is described there. However, it seems  
>> that I am still  
>> missing something to successfully open the connection, and I do not know  
>> how to troubleshoot it effectively.  
>>  
>> Thanks again.  
>>  
>> Carlos.  
>>  
>> "Andrew J. Kelly" <sqlmvpnoospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> [news:elZuDv74FHA.3876@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:elZuDv74FHA.3876@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>> Well if you don't change the default instance they will both be  
>>> listening on 1433 and who knows what you will get. You can't have two  
>>> SQL Server instances on the same server with the same port number.  
>>>  
>>> --  
>>> Andrew J. Kelly SQL MVP  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> "Carlos" <ch\_sanin@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>> [news:ulbBdK74FHA.2092@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:ulbBdK74FHA.2092@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>> No I did not. In reality I am trying to connect to one instace at any  
>>>> given time  
>>>> since I have the connection strings next to each other, I comment out  
>>>> the  
>>>> line that I do not wish to test, and uncomment the connection string  
>>>> for 2005. Kind of like this:  
>>>>  
>>>> //connection string for SQLSrvr2000  
>>>> //this.sqlConnection1.ConnectionString =  
>>>> "Server=xxx.xx.xx.xx;Database=myDB;User  
>>>> ID=myUID;Password=myPWD;Trusted\_Connection=False";  
>>>>  
>>>> //connection string for SQLSrvr2005  
>>>> this.sqlConnection1.ConnectionString =  
>>>> "Server=xxx.xx.xx.xx\\<myNamedServerInstance>,<port>;Database=AdventureWorks;User  
>>>> ID=myUID;Password=myPWD;Trusted\_Connection=True";  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> Thanks again for your help.

Re: ver 2000 vs 2005

>>>>  
>>>> Carlos  
>>>>  
>>>> "Andrew J. Kelly" <sqlmvpnoospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>> [news:e0Pj\\$%2364FHA.2888@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:e0Pj$%2364FHA.2888@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>> Did you change the port on the default (2000) instance to something  
>>>>> other than 1433?  
>>>>>  
>>>>> --  
>>>>> Andrew J. Kelly SQL MVP  
>>>>>  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Carlos" <ch\_sanin@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>>> [news:%23KntJk64FHA.2092@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23KntJk64FHA.2092@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>> Hi Andrew,  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> thank you for your prompt reply. I did assign a different port for  
>>>>>>> the  
>>>>>>> named instance in 2005. I am trying to use a connection string that  
>>>>>>> already  
>>>>>>> works in 2K by just changing to an already provided database, and  
>>>>>>> including the named instance, and port number in the connection  
>>>>>>> string.  
>>>>>>> This is kind of how it looks like:  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> this.sqlConnection1.ConnectionString =  
>>>>>>>> "Server=xxx.xx.xx.xx\\<myNamedServerInstance>,<port>;Database=AdventureWorks;User  
>>>>>>>> ID=myUID;Password=myPWD;Trusted\_Connection=True";  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> I am still no able to at least open the connection.. Any help is  
>>>>>>>> appreciated.  
>>>>>>>> Thanks in advance.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> Carlos.  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> "Andrew J. Kelly" <sqlmvpnoospam@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>>>>>>> [news:%23hUsMqv4FHA.2548@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23hUsMqv4FHA.2548@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>>>>>> You can not have both instances using port 1433. The default  
>>>>>>>>>> instance which in your case is most likely the 2000 instance will  
>>>>>>>>>> have 1433. The named instance (2005) will use a port other than  
>>>>>>>>>> 1433. Do not make them both 1433.  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>> --  
>>>>>>>>>> Andrew J. Kelly SQL MVP  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>> "Carlos" <chsanin@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>>>>>>>>>> [news:uXRaTpo4FHA.2524@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uXRaTpo4FHA.2524@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Hi all,  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>



Re: ver 2000 vs 2005

- ◆ **Re: ver 2000 vs 2005**
  - ◇ *From: Andrew J. Kelly*
- ◆ **Re: ver 2000 vs 2005**
  - ◇ *From: Carlos*
- ◆ **Re: ver 2000 vs 2005**
  - ◇ *From: Andrew J. Kelly*

- Prev by Date: **Re: ver 2000 vs 2005**
- Next by Date: **Re: PLASE HELP – remote connectivity to named instance of 2005**
- Previous by thread: **Re: ver 2000 vs 2005**
- Next by thread: **Login to SQL using AD account without logging into AD?**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**