

## Re: Performance degrading over time

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.clustering/2004-10/0122.html>

---

**From:** Andrew J. Kelly ([sqlmvpnoospam\\_at\\_shadhawk.com](mailto:sqlmvpnoospam_at_shadhawk.com))

**Date:** 10/13/04

Date: Tue, 12 Oct 2004 20:06:39 -0400

If you have lots of other things than SQL Server on the same box you might consider setting the MAX memory config in SQL Server to an amount that will leave enough memory for them to operate properly minus about 200MB.

```
--
Andrew J. Kelly  SQL MVP
"Tim" <Tim@NOSpam.com> wrote in message
news:eDgs00JsEHA.536@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
> Proliant DL360 G3 w/hyper threading
>
> 2 physical CPU, 2 gigs of memory
>
> MSA 1000
>
> Windows 2003
>
> SQL 2000 SP3a
>
> Active/Passive failover clustering
>
>
> We are noticing the performance worsens over time in our SQL cluster.  The
> performance happens across different stored procedures, so it is not
> singled
> out to one.  A stored procedure after initial compilation takes 200 ms to
> run but after three or so days the same SP takes 450 ms to run.  The only
> way to get our performance back to 200ms is to failover the cluster.  I
> know
> over time the performance should increase due to data and procedure cache
> being reused.  Has anyone else run into this issue?  Any inputs on what to
> look for.  CPU, memory, physical disk i/o and network utilization are all
> at
> a minimum.
>
>
```