

Re: Transaction and locks

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.clients/2007-01/msg00013.html>

- *From:* "Mary Chipman [MSFT]" <mchip@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 15 Jan 2007 14:30:48 -0500
-

In addition to what Dejan said, you should always try to avoid using pessimistic locking in your applications. There is no simple one-size-fits-all solution because every application is different. However, designing your table schema at the outset with concurrency in mind can eliminate locking and blocking headaches down the road.

--Mary

On Fri, 12 Jan 2007 11:24:56 +0530, "Chakravarthy"
<r_chakravarthy@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

This may be a naive question. Since DB Transactions with higher isolation levels internally use locks, does it make sense to use Transactions for concurrency management , in place of using some kind of pessimistic locks ?

Thanks,
Raj.