

Re: master db

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SQL-Server/microsoft.public.sqlserver.clients/2005-05/msg00169.html>

- *From:* "Joe Yong" <jjongNOSPAM@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 20 May 2005 14:49:57 -0500
-

This means you are managing user accounts within the application instead of managing them via SQL Server.

There are pros and cons to both but IMHO, the pros of managing the users in SQL Server or Windows (use integrated security) far outweigh the cons. Issues like accountability, security management and isolation, movement, etc... are all built into SQL Server and/or Windows whereas if you manage user account info manually, you have to write and maintain code to do that. It can certainly be done and most "older" apps do exactly that but the justifications for doing so get fewer and weaker with every iteration of the products.

joe

"Hari Prasad" <hari_prasad_k@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:23jX0Tz%23WFHA.3140@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

> Yes, you are correct.

>

> Thanks

> Hari

>

> "Joh" <joh@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

> news:e8Yi%23k%23WFHA.2520@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>> You mean i have to create one table and put all the users in the table

>> and

>> application hit that table right...

>>

>> "Hari Prasad" <hari_prasad_k@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

>> news:O74pHf#WFHA.2448@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>>> Hi,

>>>

>>> In this case you can have a common database user and keep a application

>>> table to hold all the application users.

>>>

>>> Thanks

>>> Hari

Re: master db

>>> SQL Server Mvp
>>>
>>> "Joh" <joh@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>>> news:uvbyyU%23WFHA.1152@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>>> > Over here is a call centre and when any new guys come to use
>>> > application
>>> > they have created the database user for that.... this is totally
>>> > wrong.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > "Hari Prasad" <hari_prasad_k@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>>> > news:OYrFU49WFHA.3176@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>>> >> Hi,
>>> >>
>>> >> There is no harm in creating more database users.
>>> >>
>>> >> we have to save all the users in the table and check their credential
>>> >> from
>>> >> there...???
>>> >>
>>> >> It is always better to create SQL Server Logins (secured) rather than
>>> >> storing users in normal user tables.
>>> >>
>>> >> Thanks
>>> >> Hari
>>> >> SQL Server MVP
>>> >>
>>> >> "Joh" <joh@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>>> >> news:usHtbi9WFHA.2664@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>>> >> > You mean there is no harm in creating the database users.... or we
>>> >> have
>>> >> to
>>> >> > save all the users in the table and check their credential from
>>> >> > there...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Thanks
>>> >> >
>>> >> > "Jens Süßmeyer" <Jens@xx>
>>> >> > wrote
>>> >> > in
>>> >> > message news:uZmH2d9WFHA.2124@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>>> >> >> Database users or only stored in the database, referenced to the
>>> >> >> server
>>> >> >> users on server level.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> --
>>> >> >> HTH, Jens Suessmeyer.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> ----
>>> >> >> http://www.sqlserver2005.de

Re: master db

Re: master db

- Next by Date: [*vs.net 2003 client app and SQL 2005 ??*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: master db*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: master db*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)