

Re: Best Choice

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/SMS/microsoft.public.sms.setup/2005-11/msg00160.html>

- *From:* "Kim Oppalfens" <Kim dot Oppalfens@google mail.com>
 - *Date:* Mon, 21 Nov 2005 13:58:44 +0100
-

How about installing sms on server 3, and hosting the database on server 4?

--

Kim Oppalfens
Telindus Belgium

MVP Windows Server System – SMS

"JCLSB" <JCLSB@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:579F070C-5CDD-4386-9570-FEFF1E5803FF@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>I have a client that has 4 2003 servers.

- > 1. An Exchange Server (obviously not a good choice)
- > 2. An application Server that is very busy (not a good choice)
- > 3. File server (good choice, however no SQL installed)
- > 4. Great Plains Server with SQL installed (obviously) that only has 10 users at the most. It also has BlackBerry Enterprise Server installed for
- > 20
- > clients (This is my choice)
- >
- > If I install SMS on Server #4 above is there any repercussions I should be aware of? There are approximately 200 users in this domain.

.

-
- Prev by Date: [*Re: SMS 2003 instead of SMS 2.0*](#)
 - Next by Date: [*Re: Forest-Wide Site Codes*](#)
 - Previous by thread: [*Re: SMS 2003 instead of SMS 2.0*](#)
 - Next by thread: [*SMS 2003 with Admin Rights to OU only*](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)