

Re: Image size publisher 2003

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Publisher/microsoft.public.publisher.webdesign/2006-09/msg00217.html>

- *From:* "john" <jr_wilkinson@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 24 Sep 2006 01:40:27 GMT
-

I put the old one back over night that I first backed up, because I could not get site to work (wrong place on server)
As soon as my head hit the pillow at bedtime I relished what was wrong so first thing in the morning I rectified the problem
putting updated site as your instructions, and I'm happy with it, it could be better but its acceptable and can be easily updated
Actually the pub file I was given is 39megs not 18 , and was reduced to 3 on compression
I don't have the pub 2000 file, but I opened up the 39 meg 2003 file and all images are set at 50%

What is pub 2007 like anything new worthwhile?

Thanks again for all the help

John

"DavidF" <Nope@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:OF72jI23GHA.3428@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

John,

Check one more thing for me. Assuming that you have a copy of the original Pub 2000 file, open it in Pub 2003. Then go to one or more of the images, and select it...just click on it once. Then go to Format > Picture, and the Size tab. Look under Scale, and tell me if that picture is at 100%, or what.

DavidF

"DavidF" <Nope@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:O\\$ieAE23GHA.1568@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:O$ieAE23GHA.1568@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Re: Image size publisher 2003

Hi John,

I haven't had much time, but I did look at the site while you had the Pub 2000 version up. (That was smart of you keeping the backup HTML) It appeared to me that even in that version, the image quality was lacking. Yes, they loaded fairly quickly, but I suspect that when the originals were inserted they were not at 100% size, or something. Anyway I see that you have the Pub 2007 version up again.

It appears that compressing the Pub file was successful, and helped to a point, with the overall size of your HTML output reduced to 3 megs. Good job.

Did you also uncheck the VML and PNG options?

Are you satisfied with the quality of the images as they look when viewed on the internet now, in the Pub 2007 version? If yes, are you just wanting them to load even more quickly? From my perspective, they look pretty good...once they load.

Let me check on a working assumption...you were given a Pub 2000 file, and have been updating this file with Pub 2003, correct? You do not have access to the original images?

I ask this because from my experience when I have opened a Pub 2000 file in Pub 2003, and then tried to produce HTML files, I have ended up with some unpredictable results, especially with images. Answer the above questions, and I may have a workaround that I want to test to see if perhaps it would help.

As to your other question about being able to change just the Rates page, there are several possibilities. If you are using HTTP uploading protocols, and your webhost has FrontPage Service Extensions, and are using the incremental uploading option, then if you made a change to the Rates page, in theory only those changes would be uploaded, not the entire site. I say in theory, because I am quoting David Bartosik here...I haven't tried it myself. Go to Help under Publisher and type in "incremental" to read about this feature. He has also said that you have to go through the upload process a few times before it starts working. Read more here:

<http://msmvps.com/blogs/dbartosik/pages/80555.aspx>

You also have the option of pulling that one page out of your main Publisher file, and producing it with a second Publisher file, and building the site with multiple files. This would mean that you could then update the Rates page without touching the main site. To see an example of this approach, here is a link to another poster who has built both an English and a Spanish version of his website with two Publisher files, and linked the two together. Click on the English button in the upper left corner to see the English version:

<http://www.somoscapazes.org/index.html>

Re: Image size publisher 2003

Here is an article by David Bartosik explains the method: "Building a web site with multiple Publisher web publication files":

<http://msmvps.com/blogs/dbartosik/archive/2006/01/16/81264.aspx>

If you want to pursue this approach, there are several ways you could apply it to your site.

DavidF

"john" <jr_wilkinson@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:ql4Rg.32858\\$cz3.13032@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:ql4Rg.32858$cz3.13032@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

I want to thanks you David for all the help
I followed your advice reducing images pub file was 18 meg
after
compressing it is 3 megs
When I pre view as a webpage it works great, but when I
upload to server
it does not display, its a server setting or somethig

Since I could not get it to work I uploaded backup that I
made before I
started screwing around
everything works now fast as they are small jpg's, but of
course its not
updated
the only page they ever need to change is the rates and dates
to update
the calendar bookings
is there a way I could just change this page without exporting
the whole
site to web
or an easy work arround?

<http://outsideinn.ca/page4.html>

"DavidF" <Nope@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:OBRx39j3GHA.3656@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

John, I think I/we have overloaded you with
too much information. You
do not edit the images AFTER you Publish
to The Web, and produce the
HTML. If you do not have the original
images, then forget about trying
to optimize them before inserting them into
your Publisher doc.

Re: Image size publisher 2003

Let's back up and take this step by step.

1. First step is to open your Publisher file, go to Tools > Options > Web Tab and uncheck "Rely on VML..." and "Allow PNG...".

2. Then read the article: "Compress graphics file sizes to create smaller Publisher Web pages":
<http://office.microsoft.com/en-us/assistance/HA011266301033.aspx>
Then compress the graphics in your Publisher document as per that article.

3. Use FTP to access the web host server, and delete all the old Publisher HTML files. This would include your index.htm file and the index_files folder.

4. Go back to your Publisher file, and Publish to the Web, produce a new index.htm file and index_files folder and use FTP to upload these new HTML files.

5. Post back when you are done, and we will look at your site again.

DavidF

"john" <jr_wilkinson@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:1HJQg.19981\\$KA6.7285@xxxxxxxxxxxx](news:1HJQg.19981$KA6.7285@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

How do I make publisher
publish jpg instead of png?
Just relized reason for being
so slow
I only have publisher file,
not the seperate images
If I import png then pub
exports png is that how it
works?

"john"
<jr_wilkinson@xxxxxxxx>
wrote in message

Re: Image size publisher 2003

news:Z2JQg.19965\$KA6.4570@xxxxxxxxxxxx

In Firefox
they load
much much
faster
Can I
convert
them from
png to jpg
in
irfranview
then
substitute
file
after I have
published,
or must I
import jpg's
into pub
first?

"Rob
Giordano
(Crash)"
<webmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in
message
news:eC9whOe3GHA.4796@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

So
far
I
like
03
a
lot...so
does
my
printer
buddy.
He
says
it's
got
more
commercial
stuff.
I'll

Re: Image size publisher 2003

find
out
soon
enough
I
have
to
finish
my
non-profit's
Playbill
in
30
days.

The
copy
I
have
came
with
Digital
Imaging
10,
which
I
haven't
installed
yet.
If
I
do
I
think
my
machine
will
be
on
image
editing
overload,
but
am
tempted
to
try
it.
What
can
I

Re: Image size publisher 2003

say?
Hi
my
name
is
Rob
and
I'm
a
software
junkie.
Hi
Rob!

I
have
Elements
as
well.
But,
still
end
up
going
back
to
PS6.

Oh,,,dang
I
just
realized
we're
wayyyy
ot
on
this..sorry.

R.
"DavidF"
<Nope@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message
news:uHzEwvc3GHA.1796@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
|
Ha...If
the
truth
be
told,

Re: Image size publisher 2003

I
still
use
Pub
2000
for
everything
except
|
testing
purposes
and
helping
out
here.
It
does
everything

I
want
to
do,
and

|
I
prefer
it
to
Pub2003
for
web
building
especially.

I
know,
Pub
2003
is
|
"better"
for
print
publications,
but
I
have
found
no
need
to
switch...guess

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
I
am
one
of
those,
if
it
ain't
broke,
why
fix
it,
people.

|
|
I
have
Irfanview
installed,
but
rarely
use
it.

I
use
PS
Elements
for
most

|
things
and
probably
a
half
dozen
other
apps
for
one
or
two
functions.

For
|
example
I
like
the
"warmify"

Re: Image size publisher 2003

quick
fix
in
Picasa
from
Google,
and
haven't
|
been
able
to
duplicate
it
in
Elements.
It
seems
like
every
time
I
buy
a
new
|
piece
of
equipment,
I
get
a
new
photo
editor
or
organizer,
and
have
just
|
quit
installing
them,
especially
after
loading
a
couple
that
took

Re: Image size publisher 2003

over
the
|
defaults...
|
|
DavidF
|
|
"Rob
Giordano
(Crash)"

<webmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message

|
news:%23uhWZ9Y3GHA.4796@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

|
>I
try
to
help
when
I
can.
Longtime
user
of
p2000
and
now
also
have
2003
(a

|
>
tad
on
the
noobish
side
still
with
03).

|
>
|
>
I

Re: Image size publisher 2003

use
Irfanview
for
quick
resize,
rename,
optimization
but
PS
to
actually
|
>
edit
an
image.
I
find
Irfanview
much
quicker
on
batch
ops.
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
"DavidF"
<Nope@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message
|
>
news:eAAag3Y3GHA.3492@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
|
>
|I
do
too.
I
didn't
mean
to
confuse
people.

Re: Image size publisher 2003

In
an
ideal
world,
they
should
|
>
|
resize
and
optimize
the
images
in
a
third
party
image
editing
program
|
>
before
|
>
|
they
insert
them
into
a
Publisher
2003
webpage,
and
also
use
the
|
>
compression
|
>
|
feature
in
Publisher.
I
suggest
that

Re: Image size publisher 2003

because
I
suspect
that
most
people
|
>
do
|
>
|
not
fully
optimize
the
images
for
Publisher,
and
that
there
are
probably
|
>
|
other
graphics
on
the
pages
that
will
benefit
from
the
compression.
|
>
Using
|
>
|
both,
you
get
the
fastest
loading
pages.

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
>
|
>
|
FWIW
I
use
Pub
2000
and
import
my
images
rather
than
insert
them.
I
find
|
>
I
|
>
|
get
better
results
that
way,
but
that
is
probably
beyond
what
most
|
>
people
|
>
|
want
to
do
when
they
choose

Re: Image size publisher 2003

to
use
Publisher

to
produce
their
sites.

|

>

|

|

>

|

Thanks

for

your

comments...they

are

always

helpful.

|

>

|

>

|

DavidF

|

>

|

|

>

|

"Rob

Giordano

(Crash)"

<webmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote

in

|

>

message

|

>

|

news:e%23a10XY3GHA.1288@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

|

>

|

>I

like

Re: Image size publisher 2003

doing
it
outside
of
FP
or
Pub.
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
>
"DavidF"
<Nope@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message
|
>
|
>
news:u1uPNOY3GHA.3428@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
|
>
|
>
|
And
after
that,
compress
all
the
images
and
graphics:
"Compress
|
>
graphics
|
>
|
>

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
file
sizes
to
create
smaller
Publisher
Web
pages":
|
>
|
>
|
<http://office.microsoft.com/en-us/assistance/HA0112663010>
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
DavidF
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
"Rob
Giordano
(Crash)"
<webmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
|
>
|
>
message
|
>
|
>

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
news:uoUfnIY3GHA.600@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

|

>

|

>

|

>

You
should
resize
&
optimize
first.

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

|

>

"john"
<jr_wilkinson@xxxxxxxx>
wrote
in
message

|

>

|

>

|

>

news:wOoOg.34812\$E67.23901@xxxxxxxxxxxx

|

Re: Image size publisher 2003

>
|
>
|
>
|
In
publisher
some
image
sizes
are
5
megs
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
|
When
I
publish
to
web
can
publisher
reduce
image
size
or
do
I
|
>
have
|
>
|
>
to
|
>

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
>
do
|
>
|
>
|
>
it
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
manually?
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
I
published
and
site
took
forever
to
load
|
>
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
|
>
|
>

Re: Image size publisher 2003

|
>
|
|
>
|
>
|
|