

Re: Where is terminal services client in PPC 2003?

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/PocketPC/microsoft.public.pocketpc/2004-08/0485.html>

From: Sooner Al (*SoonerAl_at_somewhere.net.invalid*)

Date: 08/05/04

Date: Thu, 5 Aug 2004 16:38:59 -0500

I think (but don't really know) it is a big issue with others, it is with me, along with the ability to access alternative ports (versus the default port).

Just my \$0.02 worth, which does not mean a whole lot...

I guess you can always tunnel through a VPN if your worried about security over the public internet...

--

```
Al Jarvi (MS-MVP Windows Networking)
Please post *ALL* questions and replies to the news group for the mutual benefit of all of us...
The MS-MVP Program - http://mvp.support.microsoft.com
This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights...
"Al Blake" <al@blakes.net> wrote in message news:ORBZVNzeEHA.3428@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
> Thanks Al (although it isnt what I wanted to hear).
> Doesnt this seem like a *big* issue to anyone else?
> Sysadmins (geeks) are just the sort of people that are
>
> a) gonna have a paocket device
> b) gonna need to connect in remotely
>
> Do we want them to open TS sessions @ 56 bit? Worse we have to make the TS
> server accept 56-bit from anyone.....arent we going against all that
> *security* focus that Microsoft is so keen to push by provigin a tool that
> doesnt even support decent security?
> I'm not a ppc programmer but how hard can it be to take an existing product,
> add no functionality, but push up the encryption level?
>
> Has somone in M$ forgotten about this one in their security push?
>
> Al.
>
> "Sooner Al" <SoonerAl@somewhere.net.invalid> wrote in message
> news:u9cXe#xeEHA.1764@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...
>> Nope. None of the current Terminal Services Clients on PocketPCs are
> 128-bit...:-(
>>
>> --
>> Al Jarvi (MS-MVP Windows Networking)
>>
>
>
```