

## Re: Overwhelmed

**Source:** <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Media/microsoft.public.windowsmedia/2004-05/0124.html>

---

**From:** kurttrail ([dontemailme\\_at\\_anywhereintheknownuniverse.org](mailto:dontemailme_at_anywhereintheknownuniverse.org))

**Date:** 05/05/04

Date: Wed, 5 May 2004 17:35:29 -0400

Neil Smith [MVP Digital Media] wrote:

> *OK I'll bite, last one tho I proimise ;-)*  
>  
>> *Sorry, I won't go. I'm nearsighted but I'm starting to have problems*  
>> *reading with my glasses on. I ain't gonna have no optician try and*  
>> *prescribe me bifocals! :)*  
>  
> *lol comes to us all fella. That, death and taxes I guess <g>*  
>  
>> *Huh? I saw no such pointing in this thread.*  
>  
> *Yeah you're right, though a URL was punted out briefly*  
>  
>> *Naw! As long as people like you keep replying, there is always a*  
>> *point to make.*  
>  
> *Well, whatever dude.*  
>  
>>> *I guess fyi, this buy-in you talk about is based on uninformed*  
>>> *speculation,*  
>>  
>> *No it's not. From an individual consumers point of view, any*  
>> *copyrighted*  
>  
> *Sorry, I thought you were implying I'd bought in to DRM (unlikely as*  
> *an artist being paid more than a fraction of their dues by a record*  
> *company)*  
>  
>> *"Any individual may reproduce a copyrighted work for a "fair use";*  
>> *the copyright owner does not possess the exclusive right to such a*  
>> *use." - <http://laws.findlaw.com/us/464/417.html>*  
>  
> *Agree.*  
>  
>> *LOL! Real Player! I'll stick with formats that aren't proprietary.*  
>  
> *OK but the real situation is, real have thrown open helix producer*

microsoft.public.windowsmedia: Re: Overwhelmed

> *source code, so its definitely a step in the right direction if not*  
> *actually a GNU licence. Still to see Apple or MS open-blah*  
> *initiaitives reach actual source-code levels.*  
>

I was aware of that. Thanks for the info.

>>> *One final point I guess 'MS' newsgroups are the same as any other*  
>>> *newsgroup, just a distributed nntp discussion which has no link to*  
>>> *any sponsoring organisation. So Yah, that blows, huh ?*  
>>>  
>>  
>> *ROFL! While MS offers no formal support in these PUBLIC groups, the*  
>> *do censor the hell out of them. Also MS hosts these groups on their*  
>> *own web*  
>  
> *I've never been censored, and I hold some pretty inflammatory*  
> *(possibly heretical) opinions LOL. I track each post I put up and they*  
> *haven't disappeared. Once it's out on the nntp servers it's*  
> *distributed for keeps – google groups is also a nice archive if the*  
> *unthinkable happens.*

I have, way too many times. I post through MS's servers most of the time, so sometimes mine get pulled before it is distributed further. MS is just wasting time and money though, because I just repost through Google, or my ISP nntp server. Then it's gets pulled again, but then I post the link to it at Google, and then there ain't much they can do about posting a link.

>  
> *Ciao, presumably, for now.*

Auf Wiedersehen.

--  
Peace!  
Kurt  
Self-anointed Moderator  
microscum.pubic.windowsexp.gonorrhea  
<http://microscum.com>  
"Trustworthy Computing" is only another example of an Oxymoron!  
"Produkt-Aktivierung macht frei!"