

Re: Calendar

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Mac/microsoft.public.mac.office.entourage/2005-01/0369.html>

From: Ole-E. Nesse (microsoft.newsgroup_at_mac.com)

Date: 01/09/05

Date: Sun, 09 Jan 2005 13:51:59 +0100

On 08-01-05 02:30, in article BE0477BF.845AC%berkowitz@spoofer_silcom.com, "Paul Berkowitz" <berkowitz@spoofer_silcom.com> wrote:

No, you were perfectly clear last time. I understood what you meant. When first day of the week is Monday, the the first week of the year, i.e. January 2005, begins on Dec. 27 2004, so that Jan. 1 and 2 are there at the end of the week. I checked the next 5 months as well, and they were all OK too (hence my reference to May 2005, where the first 6 days are in April since May is a Sunday. They're all there.)

It means of course that months like January need to display 6 weeks in all, and they do.

On 1/8/05 3:47 AM, in article BE0586E0.CF7%microsoft.newsgroup@mac.com, "Ole-E. Nesse" <microsoft.newsgroup@mac.com> wrote:

I do not mean the first week of each month, I mean the first week of 2005. Week number 1 does not exist if Monday is set up to be the first day of the week. Since 01.01.2005 and 02.01.2005 is in week 53 (2004), 03.01.2005-09.01.2005 should have been in week 1 (2005), but it's not. 03.01.2005-09.01.2005 is in week 2. This problem is not present in ANY other calendar I've got. I. ex. Microsoft Outlook: 01.01.2005 and 02.02.2005 is in week 53, and 02.01.2005-09.01.2005 is in week 1.

On 08-01-05 20:10, in article BE05700B.847F7%berkowitz@spoofer_silcom.com, "Paul Berkowitz" <berkowitz@spoofer_silcom.com> wrote:

OK. You're NOT talking about seeing the first week of the month in Month view.

You're talking about seeing the NUMBER of the week in parentheses in the Title of the calendar in WEEK view. It would have helped if you had said somewhere - anywhere - that you were looking in WEEK view, and that you did not have a problem with not seeing the first week but just the seeing the NUMBER "1" in the title "Monday, January 3 - Sunday, January 9, 2005 (Week 2)".

Yes, I see the same thing. There seem to be a number of different definitions for week numbering, but the usual one is the ISO protocol that says that Week 1 is the week that contains the first Thursday (equivalent to saying the week that contains Jan. 4). That's the definition used in Entourage when the first day of the week is Sunday (i.e. week 1 is NOT the week beginning Jan. 1) , but – as you say – Week 1 is improperly skipped when the first day of the week is Monday.

Yes, that's a BUG. The week of "Monday, January 3 – Sunday, January 9, 2005" when the week begins on a Monday should be known as (Week 1), not (Week 2).

You can see the bug for when the week begins on Sunday by looking at January 2006. Now BOTH Sunday Jan 1– Saturday Jan 7, 2006 AND the next week Sunday Jan 8 – Saturday Jan 15, 2006 are BOTH known as (Week 1)!! In 2006, switching the First Day of the week to Monday gets it right (Monday Jan 2 – Sunday Jan 8, 2006 is Week 1 while Monday Jan 9 – Sunday Jan 16 is now Week 2).

So the problem is not to do with Sunday–bias. It's to do with Entourage using some faulty calculation for week numbering (probably based on something that happened to be accurate for the year they first tried it out on).

They need to fix it. Thanks for reporting it: it's definitely a BUG. Please try to include all relevant information (like the fact you're looking at Week view) when reporting. The best way to get that right is to give a list of exact steps for reproducing what you see. Like:

Steps to Reproduce:

- > 1. Go to Preferences/Calendar and set First Day of Week to Monday.
- > 2. Go to Calendar and set View to WEEK.
- > 3. Click View Date button, and enter Jan 1, 2005.

Expected Result:

Title of Week view of calendar is "Monday January 3 – Sunday January 9, 2005 (Week 1)" [or Norwegian equivalent]

Actual Result:

Title of Week view of calendar is "Monday January 3 – Sunday January 9, 2005 (Week 2)" [or Norwegian equivalent]

Sorry I didn't understand what you were trying to report earlier.

Thanks for checking, Paul. It's less irritating when I know it's not just a problem on my computer... :-)

Do you have any information on, or experience of, how long time it will take before this bug is fixed?

–Ole