

Re: HELP PLEASE – need app to modify multiple MDB table designs simultaneously

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Internet-Server/microsoft.public.inetserver.asp.general/2004-09/1207.html>

From: Scotter (*spam_at_spam.com*)

Date: 09/23/04

Date: Thu, 23 Sep 2004 22:04:26 GMT

I really wish you all would stop second guessing how/why I built the application as I did and just tell me of software that does what I need if you know if it existing. If you can't contribute then please don't badger me with advice about an application you have no idea about.

PLEASE trust I had good reason to build the app the way I did and that for this particular application, ONE database runs ONE application and EACH application runs only ONE web site and it work great this way. For this particular app, it would not be efficient to have ONE application run ALL web sites on my server (and all the other servers running this app).

In case you didn't read my explanation earlier, here it is:

I have written a web content managment system that uses an MDB as it's back-end.

I happen to be running twenty (and more each day) very similar versions of this *application* on my 2003 Server.

Each *application* (with it's MDB) has very different data but same table structure.

So each *application* has an identical structure but different data; for the most part. Some few have departed from the exact same schema.

"Ray Costanzo [MVP]" <my first name at lane 34 dot commercial> wrote in message news:uNNKjfb0EHA.132@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...

> *His question is not irrelevant at all. I'm going to guess that you have
> some setup where each user has his own database. If it's something like
> that, you aren't making good use of databases in general. Instead of
> finding a solution to doing something the wrong way in a faster way,
> people here are trying to offer suggestions of doing things the right way
> which would then negate the need for jumping through hoops!*

>

> *Ray at work*

>

> *"Scotter" <spam@spam.com> wrote in message*

> *news:jvH4d.16614\$Qb.10305@fe2.texas.rr.com...*

>> *Trust me your question is irrelevant to what I need help finding.*
>> *If you are really curious, though, see my answer at*
>> *microsoft.public.access.3rdpartyusrgrp.*
>> *I'm not sure of exact protocol for the cross-posting I'm doing and I*
>> *don't want to piss people off.*
>> *Thanks!*
>>
>> *"Steven Burn" <pvt@noyb.com> wrote in message*
>> *news:%23UZj6PboEHA.3520@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...*
>>> *But _WHY_ do you need 20 database's?*
>>>
>>> --
>>>
>>> *Regards*
>>>
>>> *Steven Burn*
>>> *Ur I.T. Mate Group*
>>> *www.it-mate.co.uk*
>>>
>>> *Keeping it FREE!*
>>>
>>>
>>> *"Scotter" <spam@spam.com> wrote in message*
>>> *news:m7H4d.16609\$Qb.8292@fe2.texas.rr.com...*
>>>> *They do not have identical data in them.*
>>>> *Only their *structure* is identical.*
>>>> *So... when I come up with an upgrade, I would rather not open up 20*
>>>> *different databases one at a time to change/add field(s)/table(s).*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>