

# Re: Windows Update v5 issues and workaround

**Source:** <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/ISA/microsoft.public.isa.clients/2005-01/0056.html>

---

**From:** Phillip Windell (\_at\_)

**Date:** 01/19/05

Date: Wed, 19 Jan 2005 17:09:55 -0600

Yes. That is correct,...if it is ISA2004,...ISA 2000 does not work that way. They changed that behavior in 2004 because the "ordered" method of 2004 is more flexible.

--

Phillip Windell [MCP, MVP, CCNA]

[www.wandtv.com](http://www.wandtv.com)

"Mediator" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message

news:%23cu91Mj\$EHA.612@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> One more point; in addition to the DESTINATION SET, it is also important to

> keep in mind the ORDER IN WHICH RULES GET APPLIED. So the rule for the

> Destination Set of Windows Update should be applied first, giving everyone

> anonymous access to only the sites specified in destination set; next

(lower

> in order) should be the rule for allowing access for the rest of the web

to

> the group that you specify.