

## Re: FP03 publish to overwrite or not to overwrite

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/FrontPage/microsoft.public.frontpage.client/2004-10/4817.html>

---

**From:** Kathleen Anderson [MVP – FrontPage] ([spiderwebwoman\\_at\\_mvps.org](mailto:spiderwebwoman_at_mvps.org))

**Date:** 10/24/04

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 2004 12:01:55 -0400

After an upgrade, it is recommended that you do a full publish from local to remote, this will put both versions in sync. Afterwards, you can switch back to changed pages only.

If you don't ever want the local database to be published to your remote site, in FP 2003, you can right-click on the .mdb and mark it "do not publish".

--

~ Kathleen Anderson  
Microsoft MVP - FrontPage  
Spider Web Woman Designs  
<http://www.spiderwebwoman.com/resources/>  
DeltaGamma <DeltaGamma@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote:  
> Have upgraded to FP03 from FP2k recently and have noticed what  
> appears to be a random pattern of behaviour in relation to  
> overwriting files on the server. On some occasions it asks if it  
> should overwrite files on the destination (as it should).  
>  
> However, on some occasions it does not ask, and simply goes ahead and  
> does so. This is very dangerous since the files it overwrites are  
> MDBs that store user generated real time info (and so the local copy  
> is almost surely out of date), and thus destroys user supplied  
> information. How can this be stopped?  
>  
> Even more curious, and also in relation to MDB's, some times the  
> local files are more update than the server files, and they are  
> different in every respect (size, date, etc) and we with the publish  
> process to update the server files .. but it does not (it doesn't  
> even ask). Again how can this be? And why the random/inconsistent  
> behaviour c.f. above.