

Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Fox/microsoft.public.fox.programmer.exchange/2005-04/msg00764.html>

- *From:* "David" <David.Aman@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 18 Apr 2005 18:27:13 -0700
-

Thanks to everyone who replied. This is what I did. The LEFT OUTER JOIN for the two tables contained the following JOIN condition:

–
ALLTRIM(slavefile.ssn) + ;
LEFT(slavefile.lname,4) + ;
LEFT(slavefile.fname,4) = ;
ALLTRIM(eog04all.ssn) + ;
LEFT(eog04all.lname,4) + ;
LEFT(eog04all.fname,4)

–
Since the slavefile.ssn and the eog04all.ssn fields were the same size (containing social security numbers, 9 characters in length), the ALLTRIM functions were superfluous.

–
The new JOIN condition is --

–
slavefile.ssn + ;
LEFT(slavefile.lname,4) + ;
LEFT(slavefile.fname,4) = ;
eog04all.ssn + ;
LEFT(eog04all.lname,4) + ;
LEFT(eog04all.fname,4)

–
When I removed the ALLTRIM functions, the SQL Select statement in VFP9 suddenly ran AT LEAST as fast as it ran in VFP8!

–
Does this mean that in VFP9, SQL Select statements containing the ALLTRIM function cannot be optimized and should be avoided?

–
Thanks again.
David

Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9

- **Follow-Ups:**
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David Frankenbach
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: Bernhard Sander
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: Fred Taylor

- **References:**
 - ◆ **SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: Sergey Berezniker
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David Frankenbach
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David
 - ◆ **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
 - ◇ From: David Frankenbach

- Prev by Date: **Re: Need some help or idea**
- Next by Date: **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
- Previous by thread: **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
- Next by thread: **Re: SQL Select: Very Fast in VFP8, Very Slow in VFP9**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**