

Re: More SPAM

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange2000.general/2006-02/msg00139.html>

- *From:* "Kevin Longley" <kwlongley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 23 Feb 2006 04:21:36 -0500
-

Exchange 2003 offers allot of additional spam control features that exchange 2000 does not. When you use those tools combined with some 3rd party solutions you can dramatically reduce the spam. I would suggest adding additional spam software or upgrading to exchange 2003. A majority of your issue is probably spam coming into your domain to address's that don't exist which of course then produces ndr's which then cannot be delivered because the email sender was invalid. Exchange 2003 has an option to only allow email to valid active directory exchange enabled users.

"BobS" <BobS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message <news:CDC01ACB-AF89-4AE5-A227-4E338F9B8C9A@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

I wasn't very clear in my last response. Panda used to have their own antiSpam engine in their software. Recently they (Panda) opted to abandon their home-grown engine and incorporate MailShell into their software. The only control the administrator has is to set the SPAM filter sensitivity to Low, Medium, or Hi and rely on eir filters.

I can't say it has gotten worse or better as this client seems to go through spells of hi SPAM content.

The content filter within Panda offers a good capability for setting up filtering rules, but is not the solution hoped for.

"Kevin Longley" wrote:

So they switched from Panda to MailShell. Did that make the problem worse?
You said they use "everything" but what are the details of that. It is hard to evaluate the issue not knowing the details of how the antis spam software is working.

"BobS" <BobS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

Re: More SPAM

news:847EE8B8-0080-4A06-8397-80ED2F1E5921@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

They recently switched to using MailShell for their
AntiSPAMM module.

Near

as I can tell from the MailShell site they use everything.

"Kevin Longley" wrote:

How does the Panda software handle the
spam – blacklists, content
filter,
etc. ?

"BobS"

<BobS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in message

news:44978ABF-0EF7-4F96-AE89-BAB3089651B6@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Exchange 2K on W2K
Server

"Kevin Longley" wrote:

What
version of
Exchange
are you
using?

"BobS"

<BobS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in
message

news:7E2211F5-D71D-4EC7-AA96-0C0A63CC593E@xxxxxxxxxxxx

The
volume
of
unwanted
eMail
at
a
client
location
is
getting
to
the
point

Re: More SPAM

that
management
almost
wants
to
change
our
domain
name
and
start
over
again.

I
don't
know
what
part
is
what
is
truely
called
SPAM,
and
what
part
is
"Other
Junk
Mail",
but
I
am
hoping
to
get
it
stopped
(at
least
mostly).

The
mail
queue
folder
just
fills
up
really

Re: More SPAM

Re: More SPAM

fast
with
junk
in
a
retry
status
(mostly
bogus
addresses
in
our
domain).
The
"badmail"
folder
can
get
10K
files
in
a
matter
of
days
(this
for
a
domain
w/
only
25
–
30
valid
users)

The
domain
(all
servers
including
exchange
and
the
client
systems)
use
Panda
Business
Secure

Re: More SPAM

which
we
have
been
very
happy
with.
It
has
never
allowed
a
virus
in;
does
exceptionally
well
with
spyware/adware/malware;
and
until
recently
seemed
to
be
doing
OK
with
the
SPAM.

The
volume
of
filtered
mail
and
non-deliverable
seems
to
be
adversely
affecting
the
exchange
performance.
People
say
Outlook
is
not

Re: More SPAM

Re: More SPAM

snappy
anymore.
The
server
is
relatively
new
maybe
30
months,
Xeon
processor
w/
1
GB
memory.

Is
there
anything
that
can
be
done
in
exchange?
Should
I
look
at
different
/
other
anti-SPAM
software
to
compliment
the
Panda?
Do
add-on
appliances
such
as
the
Panda
Gatedefender
do
a
better
job

Re: More SPAM

than
software?

Any
advice
will
be
greatly
appreciated.

I
just
don't
want
them
to
have
to
change
eMail
domains
unless
it
becomes
the
only
option.
Prior
to
my
taking
over
the
account,
the
were
hijacked
by
a
spammer
and
had
to
be
rebuilt
then
to
get
off
the
black
lists.

Re: More SPAM

Re: More SPAM

Thanks
in
advance
for
your
suggestions.

Bob
Showalter,
Packer
International