

Re: 3rd party cert vs self signed

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.setup/2008-10/msg00032.html>

- *From:* "Elan Shudnow" <SubstituteThisWithMyFirstName@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 2 Oct 2008 20:38:32 +0000
-

Another thing is, depending on the CA, you can request a NetBIOS name in your certificate. This should allow you to use your 3rd party certificate for SMTP. Also, if you have an internal CA, you should be able to request a PKI cert and use that for SMTP.

--
Elan Shudnow
<http://www.shudnow.net>

"Elan Shudnow" <SubstituteThisWithMyFirstName@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:#Ihzq2MJJHA.1160@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx:

I'm not an MVP, but hey, I'll give it a shot anyways!

All you need to do is disable the 3rd party certificate from doing SMTP. You can do "Enable-ExchangeCertificate -Thumbprint thumbprinthere -Services None" and then do another "Enable-ExchangeCertificate -Thumbprint thumbprinthere -Services ServicesotherthanSMTP." You can then use your self-signed certificate and enable it for anything you want really. I would just choose SMTP. But even if you enabled the other services, as I stated, the PKI cert will have a higher precedence.

So yes, certs can co-exist. It just depends on what services are enabled on them and order of precedence. Since SMTP will be disabled on the PKI cert, it will still see SMTP enabled on the self-signed cert and use that.

--
Elan Shudnow
<http://www.shudnow.net>

"John Oliver, Jr. [MVP]" <jcoliverjr@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:#IIFHtMJJHA.4280@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx:

Re: 3rd party cert vs self signed

> Daniel,
>
> I don't think this is possible without renaming your domain but the other
> MVP's may chime in with a different solution. I always recommend naming
> internal domain with .local suffix to avoid this scenario.
>
> --
> John Oliver, Jr
> MCSE, MCT, CCNA
> Exchange MVP 2009
> Microsoft Certified Partner
>
> "Daniel Lund" <spaz@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:F8A8151D-9EAA-4BB4-8318-0481B11EEB41@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>

>>I originally posted this so I could be assured of my fallback position
>>should