

Re: users sharing same internet email address

Source: <http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.setup/2004-07/0631.html>

From: Victor Ivanidze (*no_at_spam.please*)

Date: 07/12/04

Date: Mon, 12 Jul 2004 17:00:45 +0400

Hello Alex,

you could consider SendAsGroup application:

<http://www.ivasoft.biz/sendasgroup.shtml>

--

Regards,

Victor Ivanidze,
software developer

"alex chip" <alexchip@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:A20E9313-9CE5-44FD-8D93-0614F28F6135@microsoft.com...

> Dear Matthew,

>

> First of all I want to say Thank You for the time you are spending for me;
a Thank You to Mr. Herb as well for the same reason.

>

> I have understood very well what you mean and I agree with you.

>

> Unfortunately my CEO likes this way (manual forwarding of external emails
arrived) and he does not want that external people can send direct message
to any of us (for security reasons).

>

> Also he does not understand why with Exchange 5.5 we could do that.

>

> I think I have to write a "sink" script that add the "reply to" field to
the messages directed outside our domain.

>

> Anyway I think in the future your solution will be the best.

>

> Thank You All and God Bless You.

>

> Alex

>

> "Matthew Byrd [MSFT]" wrote:

>

> > Hi Alex,

> >

> > That is basically what I thought might be happening. You are utilizing
your

> > ISP to collect messages bound for Exchange. If you have an always on

> > internet connection then I would recommend that you change that way you
are

> > sending a receiving email in order to simplify this situation.

> >

> > Exchange 2003 is natively able to send and receive email from the
internet.

Re: users sharing same internet email address

microsoft.public.exchange.setup: Re: users sharing same internet email address

> > I would recommend registering "dom.com" if you have not already and then
> > place an MX record with your DNS provider that points to the public IP
> > address of your Exchange server. That would be the simplest thing to do
to
> > resolve your issue. At that point all of the email will flow out as
> > JohnS@dom.com and internet users will be able to reply directly to that
> > address.
> >
> > This entire setup is very easy to do and can be done for less than \$10 a
> > month.
> >
> > Also wanted to let you know that the reason for the behavior is that
> > Exchange 2003 is SMTP native so outlook will try to send the email out
thru
> > Exchange.
> >
> > I was also noting in your post that you may have configured an SMTP
> > connector to take care of your Outbound Email. This is not necessarily
> > needed. It is better to configure the SMTP virtual server directly to
send
> > the email if possible.
> >
> > If you need further clarification or assistance please let me know.
> >
> > Hope this Helps,
> > --
> > Matthew Byrd
> > Microsoft PSS
> >
> > When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so
> > that others may learn and benefit from your issue.
> >
> > This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no
rights.
> >
> >
> >
> > "alex chip" <alexchip@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message
> > news:93FF816B-FC78-4B4A-89F7-107087153225@microsoft.com...
> > >
> > > Dear Matthew!
> > >
> > > "info@spa.com" is accessed by a proxy server that collects messages
from
> > > the ISP server the messages, forwarding them internally to a local
user
> > > "admin@dom.com".
> > >
> > > The problem is that at this moment an user that replies to a John
Smith
> > > message will actually reply to JohnS@dom.com (that does not exist for
> > > Internet users!).
> > >
> > > Before using Exchange 2003, we did in this way: every Outlook 2003
client
> > > had two accounts: one Exchange 5.5 account (primary) and one POP3
account,
> > > where it was possible to indicate the "reply to" field. Automatically
> > > every message not for local domain was sent using the POP3 account,
with
> > > the specified "reply to" field.
> > >

microsoft.public.exchange.setup: Re: users sharing same internet email address

> > > But this way does not work in Exchange 2003. The POP3 account is ignored

> > > and Exchange 2003 tries to send all messages; we had to configure the

> > > connector in order to send messages to Internet. So it began to work, but

> > > with the problem of the misconfigured "reply to" field.

> > >

> > > Thank you in advance

> > >

> > > Alex

> > >

> > > "Matthew Byrd [MSFT]" wrote:

> > >

> > >> Hi Alex,

> > >>

> > >> The only way that I would know to do with would be with a 3rd party

> > >> product

> > >> or with a custom SMTP sink that would modify the reply to address as the

> > >> email passed thru the SMTP virtual server. The other problem with this

> > >> is

> > >> that you would need an account internally for info@spa.com so replied to

> > >> a

> > >> posted message would go to that mailbox and not to the originator; unless

> > >> you are going to have a separate "receive" mailbox for everyone.

> > >>

> > >> If you could say why you are trying to do this configuration I maybe able

> > >> to

> > >> provide you with an alternate solution.

> > >>

> > >> Hope this helps,

> > >>

> > >> --

> > >> Matthew Byrd

> > >> Microsoft PSS

> > >>

> > >> When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so

> > >> that others may learn and benefit from your issue.

> > >>

> > >> This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no

> > >> rights.

> > >>

> > >>

> > >> "alex chip" <alexchip@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message

> > >> news:5536D442-98D1-42E0-B998-08B38C5784A6@microsoft.com...

> > >> > Probably it is a silly question, but...

> > >> >

> > >> > I have Exchange 2003 connected thru an ISP smtp server to the Internet.

> > >> > Everything works well, but...

> > >> >

> > >> > I need to change the "reply to" field in the messages sent to the

> > >> > Internet, so the receivers reply to an assigned e-mail address (not the

> > >> > one assigned to the user by the operating System). Inside the local

> > >> > domain, nothing should change

> > >> >

