

Re: system drive (c) and transaction logs

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.design/2008-03/msg00053.html>

- *From:* "John Oliver, Jr. [MVP]" <jcoliverjr@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 19 Mar 2008 18:51:12 -0400
-

You are going to need plenty of Server if you go Virtualization for a school. How many mailboxes are you talking about? Are they considering upgrading to Exchange 2007 at some point?

--
John Oliver, Jr
MCSE, MCT, CCNA
Exchange MVP 2008
Microsoft Certified Partner

"Paul" <Paul@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:23A6A232-D4C2-409D-B3B4-8474B58A3156@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Hi;

I currently administer an exchange 2000 server at a school board. Management wants it virtualized. The san its to be migrated to has 2 disk groups. I am currently thinking about the aspect of redundancy. Full Backups are done every night (M-F). One disk group is more limited in space than the other.

Here's the Scenario I'm thinking about:

I place the system partition and exchange databases on the same disk group and transaction logs on another. If I lose the disk group with the system and dB, are the transaction logs still useful? Can I restore the system, than the dB and than replay the transaction logs(which are still intact on other disk group)?

I can't think of why this would not be possible to do. So long as I have all the transaction logs from the last full backup(uncorrupted), I would think I

Re: system drive (c) and transaction logs

should be able to play them in to the dB.

I have read in a vmware exchange best practises document that under this scenario the transaction logs would not be useable.

Paul