

# Re: Strange Public Folder permission assignments

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.admin/2006-08/msg02920.html>

---

- *From:* [shonkyholdings@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:shonkyholdings@xxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 15 Aug 2006 22:50:35 -0700
- 

Sorry, you lost me. You mounted a 5.5 PF database on an E2K3 server?

No – I oversimplified, extracted to pst, then imported via Outlook to the 2k3 box.

PF client permissions aren't kept in the AD, they're kept in the PF database. Only mail-enabled public folders have a presence in the AD (in the Microsoft Exchange System Objects container).

If you used Outlook to set the permissions the folders will have the permissions set in the database.

All the permissions were set using the System Manager, and with PFDAdmin

The users are not logging in with the AD creds, but still the NT accounts.

But they must have an account in the AD for you to set the permissions. The account's probably disabled and it's got an account i the sIDHistory property that references the NT domain where the active account is found.

Oh yes – they do – it is how they are accessing their migrated mail. I used the Migration Wizard to manage that for me, and wrote some scripts

Re: Strange Public Folder permission assignments

to fix a few of the attributes.

I thought the SIDHistory attribute was only available in Windows native mode (ADMT uses this?). I was of the impression that the migration wizard assigned the 'Associated External Account' to give access – or is this the friendly name for SIDHistory?

The GAL is just the presentation of AD objects through the NSPI.

Yes – this I understand. Initially I added the users who required access to the folder, call them A & B. This did not work. So I created a group, called C and added the users A & B – and this grants the users the access.

And as the domain is not in native mode, the groups are Security Groups. Our Exchange box is in the top level domain, and the user accounts are in a child domain, so Exchange is not consistently enumerating the memberships. Am trying to convince the client that they can go Windows Native (no NT4 PDC's) and we can change the groups to Universal. Hopefully this will solve that problem.

I'm sure it will become clearer as I read the paper.

Maybe. Maybe not. :) PF permissions, as I said, in a mixed-mode organization are really ugly.

Your preaching to the choir!!!!

Thanks for the info Rich!

ta

--

Rich Matheisen  
MCSE+I, Exchange MVP  
MS Exchange FAQ at [http://www.swinc.com/resource/exch\\_faq.htm](http://www.swinc.com/resource/exch_faq.htm)  
Don't send mail to this address <mailto:h.pott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
Or to these, either: <mailto:h.pott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
<mailto:melvin.mcphucknuckle@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
<mailto:melvin.mcphucknuckle@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>