

Re: Spam and NDR

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.admin/2006-01/msg02119.html>

- *From:* "Rich Matheisen [MVP]" <richnews@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 18 Jan 2006 20:23:19 -0500
-

"Robert Williams"

<RobertW@xx> wrote:

>To each, his own. We are a small company with 10 employees, we don't get 1000's
>of emails per day. We did at one point, but now that I use 3 different blocking
>lists and ORFilter, their e-mails have to pass those filters first, if they do,
>then I screen them. I now get maybe 100-200 emails per week.

If you're concerned that you won't receive "important mail" because it's badly addressed, have you considered that the use of 3rd-party DNS RBL's might also be preventing you from receiving legitimate mail simply because it originates from an IP address that's been deemed a "spam source"? That logic seems a bit off-kilter to me.

If you use, for example, SORBS and accept their "spam" list as gospel you're probably discarding "good" mail.

—

Rich Matheisen

MCSE+I, Exchange MVP

MS Exchange FAQ at http://www.swinc.com/resource/exch_faq.htm

Don't send mail to this address <mailto:h.pott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Or to these, either: <mailto:h.pott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> <mailto:melvin.mcphucknuckle@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<mailto:melvin.mcphucknuckle@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **[Re: Spam and NDR](#)**
 ◇ *From:* Robert Williams

• *References:*

- ◆ **[Re: Spam and NDR](#)**
 ◇ *From:* Andy David - [MVP]
- ◆ **[ReW: Spam and NDR](#)**
 ◇ *From:* Robert Williams
- ◆ **[Re: Spam and NDR](#)**

Re: Spam and NDR

◇ *From:* Ron

◆ ***Re: Spam and NDR***

◇ *From:* Robert Williams

- Prev by Date: ***Re: ReW: Spam and NDR***
- Next by Date: ***Re: ReW: Spam and NDR***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Spam and NDR***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Spam and NDR***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***