

Re: IMF

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Exchange/microsoft.public.exchange.admin/2005-11/msg00556.html>

- *From:* "Ben M. Schorr – MVP" <bens@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 12 Nov 2005 12:01:25 –1000
-

If it's added to the Exceptions list yes.

--

Aloha,

–Ben–

Ben M. Schorr, OneNote–MVP

Roland Schorr & Tower

<http://www.rolandschorr.com>

Microsoft OneNote FAQ: <http://www.factplace.com/onenotefaq.htm>

**I apologize but I am unable to respond to direct requests for assistance.

Please post questions and replies here in the newsgroup. Mahalo!

"Scott" <Scott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

<news:6DF0EA11-A650-4894-BCDE-7F84C9356142@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

> Ben,

>

> THANKS for your response. So, if I understand you correctly, if the IP

> Address of the senders domain is added to the Connection Filter it will

> bypas

> the IMF, regardless of the SCL?

>

> Thanks!

>

> Scott

>

> "Ben M. Schorr – MVP" wrote:

>

>> Yes, if you can get the IP addresses of the sending domains that are

>> valid

>> you can add them to the exception list on the Connection Filtering tab.

>>

>>

>> --

>> Aloha,

>>

>> –Ben–

Re: IMF

>> Ben M. Schorr, OneNote-MVP
>> Roland Schorr & Tower
>> <http://www.rolandschorr.com>
>> Microsoft OneNote FAQ: <http://www.factplace.com/onenotefaq.htm>
>>
>> **I apologize but I am unable to respond to direct requests for
>> assistance.
>> Please post questions and replies here in the newsgroup. Mahalo!
>> "Scott" <Scott@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>> news:40611003-3CE7-4F02-8670-26BE1C2643F9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>> >I have a few specific domains that are critical that we receive email
>> >from,
>> > however thier SCL is 5.xxx. Other messages scoring 5.xxx are obvious
>> > spam.
>> > What can I do to either lower the SCL for these domains or whitelist
>> > them?
>> > Does sender or connection filtering override IMF? I cannot believe that
>> > the
>> > only solution would be to modify the SCL threshold. If I moved it to 6,
>> > it
>> > would allow over 200 messages per day, that are indeed valid spam. Any
>> > help
>> > is greatly appreciated.
>>
>>
>>

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: IMF**
◇ From: Scott

• **References:**

- ◆ **Re: IMF**
◇ From: Ben M. Schorr – MVP

- Prev by Date: **Re: 550 5.7.1 Unable to relay**
- Next by Date: **Re: GAL – excluding OU**
- Previous by thread: **Re: IMF**
- Next by thread: **Re: IMF**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**